

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS  
EASTERN DIVISION

BALLY MANUFACTURING CORPORATION, )  
Plaintiff, )  
vs. )  
D. GOTTLIEB & CO., a corporation, )  
and WILLIAMS ELECTRONICS, INC., a )  
corporation, and ROCKWELL )  
INTERNATIONAL CORPORATION, a )  
corporation, )  
Defendants. )

No. 78C 2246 ✓

BALLY MANUFACTURING CORPORATION, )  
Plaintiff, )  
vs. )  
GAME PLAN INCORPORATED, )  
Defendant. )

No. 79C 713 ✓

BE IT REMEMBERED that, pursuant to subpena and on Thursday, September 18, 1980, commencing at the hour of 10:40 a.m., at 2600 El Camino Real, Palo Alto, California, before me, WAYNE WALCOFF, a Certified Shorthand Reporter, License No. 4382, and a Notary Public in and for the County of San Mateo, State of California, personally appeared

RAYMOND M. HOLT  
who was called as a witness by plaintiff.

OBUJEN & MCCUTCHEON  
OFFICIAL REPORTERS & NOTARIES

2555 PARK BOULEVARD  
PALO ALTO, CALIFORNIA 94306  
(415) 326-9920

DOCKETED

NOV 12 1980

FILED  
NOV 10 1980

United States District Court  
Northern District of Illinois

## INDEX

Plaintiff's Exhibits

<u>Plaintiff's Exhibits</u>		<u>Page</u>
Holt A	The subpoena of Mr. Holt along with a return of service. . . . .	6
Holt B	An Intel brochure . . . . .	24
Holt C	A three-page document entitled "Proposed Outline of a Three-Day Intel 8080 Course". . . . .	56
Holt D1-D9	Copies of a publication entitled Microcomputer Digest for the months July 1974 through March 1975. . . . .	71
Holt E	A letter dated May 29, 1974 from M. R. Lemas to Mr. Phil Tai . . . . .	83
Holt F	A document with the letterhead Microcomputer Associates Inc. entitled "Capabilities Summary" . . . . .	87
Holt G1-G2	Two documents both entitled "Contracts" . . . . .	90
Holt H	A document entitled "A Look At Microcomputers: A Tutorial Survey," written by Ray M. Holt and Manny R. Lemas. . . . .	110
Holt I1-I3	Three photographs identified on the back with the numbers 6547-5, 6547-10 and 6547-7. . . . .	111
Holt J	Four pages of handwritten notes entitled "Proposed Outline of a Three-Day Intel 8080 Course". . . . .	114
 <u>Examination by:</u>		
Mr. Welsh. . . . .		3
Further . . . . .		118
Mr. Harding. . . . .		115
 <u>Afternoon Session</u> . . . . .		
		44

## APPPEARANCES

For plaintiff: FITCH, EVEN, TABIN, FLANNERY & WELSH, ESQS.  
BY: DONALD L. WELSH, ESQ.,  
JEROLD B. SCHNAYER, ESQ.,  
A. SIDNEY KATZ, ESQ.  
135 South LaSalle Street, Suite 900  
Chicago, Illinois 60603

For defendants ARNOLD, WHITE & DURKEE, ESQS.  
D. Gottlieb & Co. BY: WAYNE M. HARDING, ESQ.  
and Rockwell 2100 Transco Tower  
International: Houston, Texas 77056

For defendant McDougall, Hersh & Scott, ESQS.  
Williams BY: WILLIAM T. RIFKIN, ESQ.  
Electronics: 135 South LaSalle Street  
Chicago, Illinois 60603

9 <http://www.cse.iitb.ac.in/~vivek/101/>

## ABOUT THE PAPER

Q. Have you ever known any

## 4. Vice-Chairwoman

Q: How long have

RAYMOND M. HOLT

having first been duly sworn by the Notary Public to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, was thereupon examined and testified as follows:

EXAMINATION BY MR. WELSH

MR. WELSH: Q. Would you state your full name for the record, please? *you own it with Mr. Lemos?*

THE WITNESS: A. Raymond Mark Holt.

Q. Where do you live, Mr. Holt? *existenc...*

A. 4603 Park Milford Place, San Jose, California 95136.

Q. How long have you lived there?

A. About three years.

Q. Have you ever lived close to Dr. Tai, Philip Tai?

A. I don't know where he lives.

Q. Have you ever known where he lives? *recomputer*

A. *As does?* No.

Q. Are you employed? *resident,*

A. Yes. *that throughout the existence of that company?*

Q. By whom?

A. Synertek Systems Corporation.

Q. In what capacity?

A. Vice-president of engineering. *Computer Associates*

Q. How long have you held that position? *Honey and I*

*both A. I am* Since Synertek purchased my previously owned company; I guess it's been almost three years now.

Q. Is Synertek a wholly-owned subsidiary of Honeywell

1 Corporation? 18.

2 A. Yes. Before we get too far into the

3 Q. Mr. What was your previously owned company? you have

4 A. It was Microcomputer Associates. something, and we certain

5 Q. Were you the sole owner? 19. But if Ray starts

6 A. Me, personally? 20. you to know that we may have to

7 Q. the Yes. 21. Therefore, we ask for your cooperation

8 to me. A. it (No. 22. and as quickly and expeditiously as possible.

9 Q. Did you own it with Mr. Lemas? 23. not to do that.

10 A. Yes. was the business of Microcomputer Associates

11 when Q. was And when was that company in existence?

12 A. 1974, 1974 through 1978. 24. and education.

13 Q. When in 1974 was it formed? 25. Microcomputer Associates, a

14 A. April 1st. 26. relationship with Intel Corporation?

15 Q. And was it formed as a California corporation?

16 A. Yes. was that relationship?

17 Q. What position did you hold with Microcomputer

18 Associates? 27. What kinds of courses?

19 A. Executive vice-president. 28. and hardware design

20 courses. Q. Was that throughout the existence of that company?

21 A. Yes. 29. that under written contract?

22 Q. What was Mr. Lemas's position? 30. I don't

23 A. President. 31. It was almost on a course by course

24 basis. Q. What were your duties with Microcomputer Associates?

25 A. Basically in charge of engineering. 32. Manny and I  
26 both did a little bit of marketing, but he had programming and  
27 marketing. I had engineering and manufacturing. 33. called

28 Q. And you are referring to Manny Lemas?

1 A. Yes.

2 MR. HARDING: Before we get too far into the  
3 deposition, I'd like to make a brief statement. As you have  
4 observed, Ray has been sniffling and coughing, and we certainly  
5 are going to go as far as we can today. But if Ray starts  
6 feeling too badly, we'd like you to know that we may have to  
7 shorten the deposition. Therefore, we ask for your cooperation  
8 to move it forward as quickly and expeditiously as possible.

9 MR. WELSH: I will make every effort to do that.

10 Q. What was the business of Microcomputer Associates  
11 when it was first formed?

12 THE WITNESS: A. Consulting and education.

13 Q. In that business with Microcomputer Associates, did  
14 that company have any relationship with Intel Corporation?

15 A. Yes.

16 Q. What was that relationship?

17 A. We were under contract from Intel to teach courses.

18 Q. What kinds of courses?

19 A. Microcomputer programming and hardware design  
20 courses.

21 Q. Was that under written contract?

22 A. I don't think we had a formal contract. I don't  
23 remember ever seeing one. It was almost on a course by course  
24 basis, to my knowledge.

25 Q. How were you employed prior to the formation of  
26 Microcomputer Associates?

27 A. I was a consultant to a software firm called  
28 Compata.

1 Q. Were you an employee of Compata?

2 A. Yes, I had the title of consultant. That's what  
3 they called it.

4 Q. What did you do in that position?

5 A. Well, I was hired on to be a microcomputer hardware  
6 technical person. I ended up teaching courses for Compata,  
7 who was doing it for Intel.

8 Q. When did you start that work of teaching courses?

9 A. It would be probably early summer of '73.

10 Q. Did that continue until you and Mr. Lemas became  
11 associated with Microcomputer Associates?

12 A. Yes.

13 Q. Did you work at that full time?

14 A. At what?

15 Q. The teaching of the courses for Intel?

16 A. We had a few low periods between the courses.

17 Q. When did you start teaching the courses for Intel?

18 A. For Compata?

19 Q. Yes, for Compata.

20 A. I'm not sure when the first course actually started.  
21 It was around early summer of '73 when we were in preparation  
22 for the courses.

23 MR. WELSH: Let the record show that this deposition  
24 is being taken pursuant to notice and subpoena, a copy of which  
25 with return of service I ask the reporter to mark as Exhibit A.  
26 (Whereupon the subpoena of Mr. Holt along with a  
27 return of service were marked Plaintiff's  
28 Exhibit Holt A for identification.)

this morning about three reporters came to your

1 MR. HARDING: Defendants do not agree with that  
2 statement. This deposition has been noticed perhaps by virtue  
3 of the document Mr. Welsh has made an exhibit. But it's being  
4 taken pursuant to an agreement between plaintiff Bally and  
5 defendants Gottlieb, Rockwell, and Williams in the Chicago  
6 litigation. The agreement is the basis for which this  
7 deposition is going forward.

8 MR. WELSH: Q. Did you receive a copy of this subpoena,  
9 Mr. Holt? Yes.

10 THE WITNESS: A. Yes.

11 Q. Did it have this attachment, Exhibit A? answer,  
12 you will get answer that will satisfy both of us. His  
13 A. Yes.

14 Q. Are you represented by counsel here today?  
15 A. Yes.

16 Q. Who is your counsel?

17 A. These two gentlemen here. the witness to testify.

18 Q. Both Mr. Harding and Mr. Rifkin?

19 A. Yes. Q. Would you explain what your understand-  
20 ing was of Exhibit A?

21 Q. Are they representing you personally?

22 A. Yes. Q. Sure. Earlier the previous day and

23 that Q. Are they also representing you on behalf of  
24 Synertek? and he did not feel that Honeywell needed to  
25 provide counsel on their behalf. It was my understanding that

26 Q. When did they become your counsel for this  
27 deposition?

28 A. This morning.

Q. Did you have any understanding with them prior to  
this morning about their representation of you?

1 A. At this deposition? represent you?

2 Q. Yes.

3 A. No. they suggest that they represent you?

4 Q. Do you recall a telephone conversation with me  
5 yesterday afternoon? any discussions regarding compensation  
6 them A. Yes. representing you?

7 Q. In that conversation, did you tell me that you did  
8 not expect to be represented today? with respect to  
9 compensation Yes. for representation?

10 MR. RIFKIN: I would like to voir dire the witness on  
11 this point. If you will permit him to explain that answer,  
12 you will get an answer that will satisfy both of us. His  
13 answer to your question on the telephone was directed to  
14 whether or not he understood that Honeywell was going to  
15 provide legal counsel for him.

16 MR. WELSH: Well, I prefer the witness to testify.

17 MR. RIFKIN: I'm sorry, I won't do that again.

18 question MR. WELSH: Q. Would you explain what your understanding  
19 was of our telephone conversation?

20 THE WITNESS: A. Sure. Earlier the previous day and  
21 that day with Mr. Lemas, we both had been in conversation with  
22 Mr. Neils, and he did not feel that Honeywell needed to  
23 provide counsel on their behalf. It was my understanding that  
24 that's what you are asking, because of the documents that were  
25 involved.

26 Q. But the representation for you by Mr. Harding and  
27 Mr. Rifkin commenced this morning?

28 A. Yes.

1 Q. 7 W. Did you ask them to represent you?

2 A. No. If you're more specific, please?

3 Q. Did they suggest that they represent you?

4 A. We Yes. Perhaps you want to answer this.

5 Mr. Harding Did you have any discussions regarding compensating  
6 them for representing you? to assist us in this  
7 litigation. A. No. at is the capacity. He is an expert witness, or  
8 may I be allowed to answer this?

9 Q. Did you have any understanding with respect to  
10 compensating them for representing you? discussion with  
11 Mr. Raikin about questions that might be asked  
12 of you. Q. Do you expect to compensate them?

13 A. E. W. No. S. A. Yes.

14 Q. Have you had, prior to this morning, any other  
relationship with Mr. Harding?

15 A. Yes. Was the substance of those discussions?

16 Q. What other relationship? the one of consulting  
17 MR. HARDING: what Mr. Welsh, I am going to answer that  
18 question, because it's a legal relationship. We have retained  
19 Mr. Holt as an expert to consult with us in this litigation.  
20 MR. WELSH: Who do you mean by "we"?

21 MR. HARDING: Defendant Williams and defendant Rockwell  
22 and defendant Gottlieb.

23 MR. WELSH: So the retention has been by them  
24 rather than by your law firm? I recall what they were.

25 MR. HARDING: That's right. Of course, the various  
26 law firms represent the parties named, as you well know by  
27 this date. What do you mean by a relationship?

28 MR. WELSH: Q. When did that relationship commence?

1 THE WITNESS: A. Early 1980.

2 Q. Could you be more specific, please?

3 A. I'd say late January.

4 MR. WELSH: Perhaps you want to answer this,  
5 Mr. Harding. In what capacity, as an expert?

6 MR. HARDING: As an expert to assist us in this  
7 litigation. That is the capacity. He is an expert who may or  
8 may not be expected to give testimony as a witness at trial.

9 MR. WELSH: Q. Have you had any discussions with  
10 Mr. Harding or Mr. Rifkin about questions that might be asked  
11 of you at this deposition?

12 THE WITNESS: A. Yes.

13 Q. When did you have those discussions?

14 A. This morning.

15 Q. What was the substance of those discussions?

16 A. I was told that whatever the line of questioning  
17 is, be sure that what I say is factual and true.

18 Q. Anything else?

19 A. I was asked several questions on whether I was  
20 familiar with certain types of applications.

21 Q. Anything else?

22 A. No.

23 Q. What were those applications?

24 A. I don't think I can recall what they were.

25 Q. But they did specify certain applications?

26 A. Approximately five applications I was asked.

27 Q. What do you mean by applications?

28 A. Uses of microcomputers.

Q. Did they relate the questions with respect to the applications to any particular time period?

A. The time period during when I taught the courses.

Q. And those were the courses that you started ~~take~~  
preparing for in 1973 as an employee of Compata?

A. Not that specific; just teaching of courses in general. Well, and I think there was one I wasn't familiar with during the time period was that?

A. The overall time period would be early summer of '73 to the end of summer 1974. That's the time period when I taught courses.

Q. That was for Compata?

#### A. And Microcomputer Associates.

Q. Did you teach any courses beyond early summer of '74? *Wifkin and Mr. Hardinge*

A. Yes. approximately 7:30.

Q. And how long did you continue to teach courses?

A. I believe we actually taught courses through October, maybe November of 1974. These were not necessarily public courses, but specialized in-house courses.

Q. For Intel?

A. Some may have been. Manny and I also got a few ~~air~~  
on our own. They became very scattered as it got near the end  
of the year. Yes.

Q. Do you recall the substance of what you told Mr. Harding and Mr. Rifkin about the applications?

Q. Well, I believe you stated in discussions this

1 morning that Mr. Harding and Mr. Rifkin asked you about your  
2 familiarity with certain applications.

3 A. Yes. ~~on phone either last week or the week before,~~  
4 Mr. Q. ~~And~~ And my question to you is, what was the substance  
5 of what you told them? ~~of relevant material as it related to~~

6 Tax A. ~~and business~~ I believe I said four out of the five I was ~~own~~  
7 familiar with, and I think there was one I wasn't familiar with  
8 during the time frame. ~~more than one telephone conversation~~  
9 with Q. ~~Had~~ Do you remember any of the five? ~~deposition?~~

10 A. Normally, I would, but my head is not very clear,  
11 I'm sorry.

12 Q. ~~HA~~ You do not remember any? ~~word will be clear, I did~~  
13 set up this ~~position~~ clearing the date of today with Mr. ~~Harding~~

14 and Q. ~~and~~ At what time did you have your discussions with ~~on~~  
15 Mr. Rifkin and Mr. Harding? ~~agreement was reached on this~~  
16 subject A. ~~T~~ Approximately 7:30. ~~with Mr. ~~Melt~~~~

17 Q. ~~W~~ And how long did the discussions continue? ~~in~~  
18 Mr. ~~H~~ ~~ing~~ Twenty minutes to half an hour. ~~phone conversation~~  
19 about Q. ~~T~~ Was there a discussion of any other subject matter  
20 in connection with this deposition this morning?

21 A. No. ~~with whom did you have that conversation or~~  
22 Q. ~~conversations~~ So you only discussed the familiarity with certain  
23 applications? ~~to Harding.~~

24 A. Yes. ~~many such conversations did you have?~~  
25 Q. And the instructions about answering questions?

26 A. Yes. ~~did that occur?~~  
27 Q. Had you, prior to this morning, had any discussion  
28 with Mr. Harding or Mr. Rifkin regarding this deposition?

1 A. In what respect?

2 Q. In any respect. In discussion with Mr. Harding and

3 Mr. A. On the phone either last week or the week before, Mr. Harding told me that there was an agreement made between 4 the two parties that only relevant material as it related to 5 Tai would be talked about, and I was looking through my own 6 material to pull that type of material out.

7 Q. Did you have more than one telephone conversation 8 with Mr. Harding in which you discussed this deposition?

9 A. I don't think so. We may have, but I don't think 10 so. I don't think so. I would say yes or no. We did not go into 11 any

12 MR. HARDING: Just so the record will be clear, I did 13 set up this deposition clearing the date of today with Mr. Holt 14 and his availability as soon as you had indicated you wanted to 15 take his deposition, and an agreement was reached on this 16 subject. The date was cleared with Mr. Holt.

17 to an MR. WELSH: Q. Have you had any discussions with 18 Mr. Harding or Mr. Rifkin prior to that telephone conversation 19 about Dr. Tai?

20 THE WITNESS: A. Yes. Q. And with whom did you have that conversation or 21 today? A. And with whom did you have that conversation or 22 conversations? A. No, I think I mentioned that earlier. 23 during A. the Mr. Harding. A. I was teaching, I was teaching, 24

25 Q. How many such conversations did you have? A. Just one that I can remember.

26 Q. When did that occur?

27 A. One to two months ago.

28 Q. And how did it occur, by telephone or in person?

A. By telephone.

Q. When you had your discussion with Mr. Harding and Mr. Rifkin this morning with regard to certain applications of microprocessors, which they mentioned, did the discussion include any question about your general recollection with respect to applications?

A. It was a general question.

Q. And did you have an answer to the general question about -- what was the general question?

A. If I was familiar with these applications, and he named them off, and I would say yes or no. We did not go into any of them.

Q. Do you remember the question more specifically, what they said to you?

MR. HARDING: I think, Mr. Welsh, this is the third time generally you've asked that, and perhaps the witness wants to answer it a third time.

question THE WITNESS: I believe Terry said, "Ray, are you familiar with these applications," and read them off.

MR. WELSH: Q. Only as far as Did he say, "Are you familiar with them" today? *of familiarity with them*

THE WITNESS: A. No, I think I testified earlier that during the time frame when I was teaching courses.

Q. Is it correct then that the question was, do you remember being familiar with the applications at the time of your courses? *may asked you this*

MR. HARDING: I object, Mr. Welsh, to that question. He has testified he did not recall specifically the language

1 the question, and now you gave him a very specifically worded  
2 question. Now, do you mean, was the general substance of the  
3 question, was it to that effect?

4 MR. WELSH: Fine. I'm just trying to help him re  
5 remember what the discussion was this morning.

6 MR. HARDING: Right, he has given you that recollection.  
7 If you want to word it to the substance of the question, fine.

8 MR. WELSH: Q. Was the substance of the question that  
9 Mr. Rifkin asked you whether you today have a recollection of  
10 familiarity with those applications during the time of your  
11 courses?

12 THE WITNESS: A. Yes, of whether I was familiar with  
13 them back then, not familiar with them today.

14 Q. Did they ask you in substance whether you remembered  
15 discussing any of those applications with Dr. Tai?

16 A. I don't remember that.  
17 Q. You don't remember whether they asked you that  
18 question this morning?

19 A. Correct.  
20 Q. They only asked you in substance the recollection  
21 today of familiarity with those applications during the time  
22 of your courses?

23 A. Correct.

24 Q. With respect to that question and answer, when you  
25 said, "I don't remember," did you mean you didn't remember  
26 whether they asked you the question this morning, or you  
27 didn't remember whether you had discussions with Dr. Tai?

28 A. The answer would be no, they did not ask that

1 question.

2 had P.Q. Q. You do remember they did not ask that question?

3 A. Right.

4 P.Q. Q. When they did ask you questions about the five  
5 specific applications, did you recall any other applications  
6 with which you were familiar at the time of the courses?

7 A. No.

8 Q. And is your answer still the same even though you  
9 didn't discuss them this morning?

10 A. I don't understand.

11 Q. I'll rephrase the question. In the discussions  
12 or during the discussion this morning, did any applications  
13 other than those five that they named come to your mind even  
14 though you didn't discuss them with Mr. Harding and Mr. Rifkin?

15 A. No.

16 Q. And is it correct that they did not ask you about  
17 your recollection of any other applications than those five?

18 A. Right.

19 Q. Did you have any discussion with Mr. Harding  
20 prior to today about Dr. Tai other than the telephone  
21 conversation you mentioned a month or two ago?

22 A. I'm sure between January and June, his name  
23 probably came up at least once.

24 MR. HARDING: I will instruct the witness not to  
25 speculate.

26 MR. WELSH: Q. Was the telephone conversation a month  
27 or two ago specifically with respect to Dr. Tai?

28 THE WITNESS: A. Let me correct myself. It may have been

1 less than a month ago. It was when I was told that Dr. Tai  
2 had signed an affidavit. My time frame may be off. *at?*

3 Q. How long did that telephone conversation take  
4 place? *You are very certain of that?*

5 A. Length of time of the conversation?

6 Q. Yes. *Is the basis of your certainty?*

7 A. Approximately five minutes. *Interest in pinball, and*  
8 had Q. *Give me the substance of your conversation with* Mr. Harding, please. *red.*

9 A. I was told that Dr. Tai had signed an affidavit.  
10 The affidavit was read to me over the phone. I was asked what  
11 I thought of it. I mentioned that I did not think that that  
12 was accurate, and that was the essence of the conversation.

13 Had Q. *Did you understand the affidavit when it was read* to you?

14 A. *As it relates to microcomputers, I would have*

15 A. *I felt I did, yes.*

16 Q. What did you find to be inaccurate in the affidavit?  
17 A. *Mainly the fact that Dr. Tai implied that he had* numerous conversations with me, which is absolutely not true.

18 Q. Any other inaccuracies that you recall? *micro-*  
19 A. *Probably the most glaring was -- well, he said in* the affidavit that he believed that he had told me specific  
20 pinball information, and he definitely did not tell me any *the* pinball information nor anything related.

21 MR. WELSH: *S: A. Could I have that answer read back?*

22 (Whereupon the reporter read back the previous answer.)

23 MR. WELSH: *Q. What do you mean by definitely he had* not told you any pinball information?

1 THE WITNESS: A. I mean he did not tell me.

2 Q. You mean you have no recollection of that?

3 A. No, he did not tell me.

4 Q. You are very certain of that?

5 A. Yes.

6 Q. What is the basis of your certainty?

7 A. Because I have a personal interest in pinball, and  
8 had I been told, I would have remembered it. I like the game.  
9 I would have remembered.

10 Q. Do you mean that you had a personal interest in  
11 pinball at the time the courses were given?

12 A. No, just myself, I've always had an interest in  
13 the strategy of pinball games, and how they work the scoring.  
14 Had Dr. Tai mentioned the application of pinball to me,  
15 especially as it relates to microcomputers, I would have  
16 definitely remembered that.

17 Q. Is it possible that he could have discussed it  
18 with you, and you don't remember?

19 A. No.

20 Q. Did you ever discuss any applications of micro-  
21 processors with him?

22 MR. HARDING: Is there a time frame?

23 MR. WELSH: During the time that he was teaching the  
24 courses.

25 THE WITNESS: A. I cannot say definitely.

26 MR. WELSH: Q. You have no recollection of his  
27 discussing any applications with you?

28 THE WITNESS: A. Correct.

1 Q. Do you recall during that time period any  
2 familiarity with credit card machines for TRW?

3 A. I interviewed with TRW before I moved up in this  
4 area. I knew what they were working on. In that respect,  
5 I knew TRW.

6 Q. Do you recall any consideration of such applications  
7 in connection with the courses which you taught in '73 or '74?

8 MR. RIFKIN: I object to the question as indefinite;  
9 considerations by whom? You've left that off.

10 MR. WELSH: By him.

11 THE WITNESS: A. We did not teach a specific  
12 application in a course. I know that Intel listed credit card  
13 machines in one of their advertisements as an application of  
14 microcomputers. Our courses were not designed to take a full  
15 application. We took application techniques. We do not have  
16 time to go into a full -- so there was no reason to have --

17 MR. WELSH: Q. Did you refer to different applications  
18 at all in preparing for your courses?

19 THE WITNESS: A. I didn't.

20 Q. And you don't recall discussing any applications  
21 with Dr. Tai?

22 A. No.

23 Q. Do you recall discussing with Dr. Tai the use of  
24 matrix multiplexing with microprocessors?

25 A. That information was in the Intel manual. I do not  
26 recall talking to Dr. Tai about it. Most likely I would not  
27 have, because it was in the manual.

28 Q. Did you have any interest in microprocessor

1 applications during the time of teaching of the course? b  
2 the A. Yes.

3 Q. What was that? yes.

4 A. What was my interest? with Dr. Tai's y outside

5 Q. Yes.

6 A. Process control.

7 Q. Did you have occasion to consider different applica-  
8 tions at that time? side consulting job?

9 A. In preparing for the course?

10 Q. In connection with anything, not limited to the which  
11 course. rise with respect to multiplexing or using a micro-

12 A. We were involved as consultants besides teaching  
13 the courses. So we would go in and help customers design  
14 microcomputers around their particular application. So in  
15 that respect, yes. current problems?

16 Q. Did you consult with personnel of Intel with respect  
17 to such applications? out that. I would have solved it myself.

18 A. No. I've been in multiplexing since '68 with computers.

19 Q. Do you recall ever considering any application in  
20 which incandescent lamps were connected in a matrix multiplexed  
21 with the microcomputer? last part of is on customers of Intel?

22 MR. HARDING: Is there a time frame, Mr. Welsh?

23 MR. WELSH: During this time period.

24 THE WITNESS: A. No.

25 MR. HARDING: That's the early formative stages of  
26 a lecture series? about the customers being named.

27 MR. WELSH: This period is during the period of 1973  
28 and 1974. When did you first meet Dr. Tai?

1                   MR. WELSH:                   Q.     Did you understand that to be  
2                   the time frame?

3                   THE WITNESS:                   A.     Yes.

4                   Q.     Did you ever discuss with Dr. Tai any outside  
5                   consulting job?

6                   A.     No.

7                   Q.     Did you ever discuss with him any problems that  
8                   you had with any outside consulting job?

9                   A.     No.

10                  Q.     Did you ever discuss with Dr. Tai any problems which  
11                  might arise with respect to multiplexing or using a micro-  
12                  processor and multiplexing incandescent lamps in a matrix?

13                  A.     No.

14                  Q.     You don't have any recollection about problems  
15                  such as voltage or current problems?

16                  Q.     A.    I'm sure I did not talk to him about that. I would  
17                  not have asked him about that. I would have solved it myself.  
18                  I've been involved in multiplexing since '68 with computers.  
19                  So there wouldn't have been a question about that.

20                  Q.     Were you aware as to whether Dr. Tai during that  
21                  period, 1973 to 1974, ever made calls on customers of Intel?

22                  A.     I'm sure he did.

23                  Q.     Were you aware of it directly?

24                  A.     No.

25                  Q.     Did you ever discuss any applications with him of  
26                  Intel customers without the customers being named?

27                  A.     I don't recall.

28                  Q.     When did you first meet Dr. Tai?

1 A. It must have been early summer of '73.

2 Q. And what were the circumstances of your meeting?

3 A. When I first went into Compata or went into Intel  
4 for Compata with Mr. Lemas, Mr. Lemas introduced me to the  
5 staff of Intel, and Mr. Tai was on the staff at that time.

6 Q. Did he have anything to do with the courses at that  
7 time? ~~started the preparation of them~~

8 A. When I first joined Compata, I don't think he did.  
9 I think Mr. Hank Smith and Mr. Hal Feeney pretty well instructed  
10 Mr. Lemas on what to teach. ~~to do the public courses.~~

11 Q. They didn't teach you also? ~~public courses~~

12 A. They didn't do anything. ~~to do the public courses~~

13 Q. Did you meet with them personally? ~~visits from my~~

14 A. When they thought we charged them too much money.

15 They gave us very little help in the courses. They were so  
16 busy on their own job, that it was just not in them to take  
17 the time to -- we had a job to do. We were to do it. If we  
18 had questions, we'd either dig it out or find a technician. ~~to do~~

19 Q. Do you know what Dr. Tai's position was when you  
20 first met him? ~~at the beginning you were retreating, etc.~~

21 A. Not when I first met him. I had learned later on  
22 maybe by the end of the summer that he was in charge of  
23 engineering or the board design. ~~of the~~

24 Q. Were you told whether he had any responsibility with  
25 respect to the courses? ~~in what I think in this regard, etc.~~

26 A. Certainly by the end of the summer. ~~I think in this regard, etc.~~  
27 Mr. Hank Smith left, who was in charge of the microcomputer  
28 group, I believe that's the time that Phil was given the course

1 responsibility.

2 Q. And when did that occur, to your knowledge?

3 A. No sooner than late '73 and toward the end of '73.

4 Q. When was the first course given?

5 A. Summer of 1973.

6 Q. Did you start giving the courses immediately when  
7 you started the preparation of them?

8 A. No. I think we prepared for a month or two, and  
9 then we gave an in-house course for some Intel people, the  
10 sales reps, and then we went off to do public courses.

11 Q. Do you remember when the first public course was?

12 A. I can't remember, but Intel documented with fliers  
13 all of their courses. I believe one of the exhibits from my  
14 last deposition indicated that.

15 Q. The time of the first course?

16 A. I believe so.

17 Q. Among some documents that you produced this morning  
18 is a brochure which is similar to an exhibit which was marked  
19 as GD214. I'm not sure whether it was in your deposition or  
20 not. Is Exhibit 214 the brochure you were referring to?

21 A. Yes.

22 Q. May we mark and retain one of these copies of this  
23 brochure as an exhibit?

24 A. Yes.

25 MR. HARDING: Mr. Welsh, I think at this point it  
26 would be appropriate to indicate that any and all documents  
27 that have been presented here today were presented via the  
28 instruction of corporate counsel for Honeywell, Mr. Neils, and

1 whatever permission Mr. Holt gives in this regard I presume is  
2 given with his authority. We are not representing Honeywell  
3 in its documents and its document production. So I'm just  
4 advising the witness that he consider his relationship with  
5 his counsel in that regard. ~~was. Intel made these brochures~~

6 ~~after~~ MR. WELSH: ~~but~~ I'd like the reporter to mark this ~~one~~ ~~and~~  
7 brochure as Plaintiff's Exhibit Holt B. ~~or Intel mailing list,~~  
8 ~~and have never caught up on two (Whereupon an Intel brochure was~~  
9 ~~course. This brochure was a B for identification.)~~

10 MR. HARDING: ~~so~~ To further supplement my last statement,  
11 our representation is based on our retention of Mr. Holt as an  
12 expert. As you can see, we have very few objections to the  
13 actual inquiries you are making even though they borderline  
14 on whether they are in the understanding of the scope of this  
15 deposition. ~~Here the participants in the prior public courses~~

16 ~~requi~~ MR. WELSH: ~~a few~~ Q. ~~you~~ This Exhibit B on the outer front  
17 ~~cover~~ ~~contains~~ some dates, and there is no year given. Is it  
18 correct that in your previous deposition given in the one suit,  
19 Bally vs. Gottlieb, et al., you testified that the year in  
20 which those months and dates were given was 1973? ~~when these~~

21 THE WITNESS: ~~you~~ A. ~~Yes~~ Yes.

22 MR. HARDING: Are you asking, Mr. Welsh, for his ~~re~~,  
23 confirmation of his earlier testimony or his present recollec-  
24 tion as of these dates. ~~re~~ If you are asking for his confirmation  
25 of his earlier testimony, I suggest you give him a copy of  
26 his earlier testimony. ~~to a number of different companies, and~~

27 MR. WELSH: ~~that the~~ Q. Is it correct that the year was  
28 1973?

1 THE WITNESS: A. This brochure represented the  
2 course as taught in 1973. ~~WITNESS: Do you object to this question?~~

3 Q. Is October 1 to 3 the dates of the first public  
4 course? ~~WITNESS: Do you object to this question?~~

5 A. I don't think it was. Intel made these brochures  
6 after a few courses. We had the in-house courses, as I mentioned,  
7 and I believe they did a mailing to their local mailing list,  
8 and may have taught one or two others just as like a prototype  
9 course. This brochure was a result of the first big advertise-  
10 ment for the course. I can recall that not being the first  
11 course I taught. ~~WITNESS: Do you object to this question?~~

12 Q. Were participants in the course that is advertised  
13 in this brochure required to pay a fee, to your knowledge?

14 A. Yes. ~~WITNESS: Do you object to this question?~~

15 Q. Were the participants in the prior public courses  
16 required to pay a fee; do you know? ~~WITNESS: Do you object to this question?~~

17 A. Except the ones for in-house personnel. ~~WITNESS: Do you object to this question?~~

18 Q. That's Intel personnel?

19 A. Intel personnel, yes. ~~WITNESS: Do you object to this question?~~

20 Q. Was Dr. Tai involved with the courses when these  
21 first public pay courses were given? ~~WITNESS: Do you object to this question?~~

22 MR. HARDING: ~~WITNESS: Do you object to this question?~~ I object to the question. It's vague,  
23 what involvement means. If you want him to answer as to  
24 specific involvement, then I have no objection to the question. ~~WITNESS: Do you object to this question?~~

25 MR. RIFKIN: ~~WITNESS: Do you object to this question?~~ I further object, Mr. Welsh, because  
26 that brochure relates to a number of different courses, and  
27 I would prefer that the witness answers as to each particular  
28 course. ~~WITNESS: Do you object to this question?~~

1 MR. WELSH: Q. You may answer the question.

2 MR. HARDING: Do you remember the question?

3 THE WITNESS: No, would you repeat it?

4 (Whereupon the reporter read back the previous question.)

5 THE WITNESS: A. Yes.

6 MR. WELSH: Q. What was his involvement?

7 THE WITNESS: A. It was more of a business involve-  
8 ment with us as consultants. Mr. Lemas had a much closer  
9 involvement with Mr. Tai, and it appeared to me strictly  
10 business. Yes.

11 Q. Did you ever meet with Dr. Tai in discussing what  
12 would be taught in these courses which are the subject of  
13 Exhibit B? As far as specifically on the content of the course  
14 no. A. I may have, but not likely. That's the detail that  
15 I can't recall. say a few words. In that respect, we would  
16 Q. Did you meet with anybody else at Intel regarding  
17 the subject matter of those courses?

18 A. Yes. you began the public courses for fees in

19 Q. With whom did you meet? the courses charge?

20 A. Mr. Bob Garrow.

21 Q. How do you spell Garrow? lone with Intel personnel  
22 A. G-a-r-r-o-w.

23 Q. Anybody else?

24 A. Julius Brempolis. Mr. Lemas also

25 Q. Was he an employee? He did or not.

26 A. Yes. the charge is he was at consultation with

27 Q. Was he involved with the courses when you first  
28 undertook your consulting with Intel?

1 A. No. I mean of any of the courses, I mean?

2 Q. When did he become involved? At one of the courses?

3 A. I think it was approximately February, March, 1974. I used to see

4 Q. So that was after the dates of the courses of

5 Exhibit B? Do you know what day, week, month, or year?

6 A. I think it was after the public courses?

7 Q. How about Mr. Garrow? Did you work with him from  
8 the beginning when you were first hired as a consultant to  
9 Intel? It was before the year end, within a few weeks.

10 A. Yes. There were three times of evaluations given, on three

11 different occasions. Was there anybody else you worked with prior to the  
12 first October 1 to 3, 1973 course at Intel?

13 A. As far as specifically on the content of the course,  
14 no. Most of the Intel personnel was invited to come to the  
15 local courses to say a few words. In that respect, we would  
16 invite them all. As far as technical input, Mr. Garrow is  
17 the only one I recall. days, if any of changes

18 Q. After you began the public courses for fees in  
19 October of 1973, did the content of the courses change?  
20 A. Very little.

21 Q. Did you have any discussions with Intel personnel  
22 about changes?

23 A. No, I didn't. I worked most regularly with

24 Q. Do you know whether Mr. Lemus did?

25 A. I don't know whether he did or not.

26 Q. Were the changes made without consultation with

27 Intel?

28 A. Yes.

1 Q. Did the length of any of the courses change?

2 A. Possibly. I seem to recall that one of the courses  
3 changed from three-day to two-day. That's very vague to me  
4 right now.

5 Q. Do you know approximately when that occurred after  
6 the October start of the public courses?

7 A. No.

8 Q. Was it a matter of a few months or several months?

9 A. It was before the year end, within a few months.

10 Q. Were there three types of courses given on three  
11 different subjects?

12 A. Yes.

13 Q. And were those as indicated on Exhibit B: MCS-4,  
14 MSC-8, and PL/M?

15 A. Yes.

16 Q. Do you remember which of those three was reduced  
17 from three days to two days, if any of them?

18 A. I'd say probably the PL/M course.

19 Q. And whose decision was it to change that, to make  
20 that change?

21 A. I don't know.

22 Q. Is it correct at first Hank Smith and Hal Feeney  
23 were the persons who you worked most closely with?

24 A. I worked with Mr. Lemas. Mr. Lemas interfaced with  
25 most of the Intel personnel. I believe he interfaced with  
26 Mr. Smith the most.

27 Q. And then is it correct that later Mr. Lemas inter-  
28 faced with Dr. Tai?

1 A. Yes.

2 Q. And approximately when did that first occur?

3 A. I believe earlier I said when Mr. Smith left the  
4 company. I'd say late summer of 1973.

5 Q. So it would have been before the October start of  
6 the public courses?

7 A. Yes.

8 MR. HARDING: The prior question was what? Would you  
9 read it back please?

10 (Whereupon the reporter read back the previous question.)

11 MR. HARDING: To the extent that no foundation was  
12 shown that this witness was aware of the first interface of  
13 Mr. Lemas with the individuals, I object on foundation grounds  
14 that this witness may not have known when the first meeting  
15 was. A. Santa Clara.

16 MR. WELSH: Q. Did you meet with Dr. Tai after  
17 he replaced Mr. Smith?

18 THE WITNESS: A. Not to my knowledge.

19 Q. You never had any meeting with Dr. Tai?  
20 A. I met him in the hallway and things like that. But  
21 I don't recall any technical, any meetings specifically pertaining  
22 to the course.

23 Q. And would this extend from the time that he inter-  
24 faced with Mr. Lemas say through July of 1974? Would that  
25 be accurate?

26 A. I'd say so.

27 Q. From the period of October, 1973 until September of  
28 1974 did you discuss the content of the course with any Intel

1 employees? *What Intel?*

2 A. Yes. *The question has been asked and answered*

3 Q. H And with whom did you discuss? *He answered that*

4 Q. A. Bob Garrow.

5 Q. M Did you discuss it throughout that whole period?

6 A. Bob Garrow was mostly my technical interface if I  
7 had questions. *Q. A. I believe it was the fall of 1973.*

8 Q. M And that was from October, '73 to September, 1974?

9 A. Yes. *He is not related to the course specifically during*  
10 that Q. *Do you know where Mr. Garrow is today?*

11 A. Yes. *Q. A. Yes.*

12 Q. Where? *Frequent were those meetings?*

13 A. A company called Convergent Technology. *invited to*

14 speak Q. *Where is that located? Much on Intel products. Before*

15 and A. *at Santa Clara. often charted about the industry, where*

16 it's Q. *Anybody else other than Mr. Garrow that you worked*

17 with with respect to the content of the course at Intel? that

18 you gave to. *No. namely*

19 Q. I will limit it to the period from October, 1973 to  
20 September '74. Was there anyone else that you worked with?

21 A. Brempolis.

22 Q. Was that throughout that whole period? *He spoke at*  
23 and A. *Not for Brempolis.*

24 Q. How long during that period did you work with  
25 Brempolis? *Did he speak at the ones at Intel itself?*

26 A. Approximately March, 1974 until we quit consulting  
27 with them. *And did he speak at courses outside of this area?*

28 Q. You may have stated this, but when did you quit

1 consulting with Intel?

2 MR. RIFKIN: The question has been asked and answered.

3 MR. HARDING: Do you believe you've answered that  
4 question?

5 MR. WELSH: He may have. Just so the record is  
6 clear.

7 1973 THE WITNESS: A. I believe it was the fall of 1974.

8 MR. WELSH: Q. Did you have any meetings with  
9 Dr. Tai that were not related to the course specifically during  
10 that August '73 to September '74 period?

11 THE WITNESS: A. Yes.

12 Q. And how frequent were those meetings?

13 A. Mr. Tai was one of the Intel personnel invited to  
14 speak at the courses to give a pitch on Intel products. Before  
15 and after the course we often chatted about the industry, where  
16 it's going.

17 Q. Did he attend and speak at all of the courses that  
18 you gave for Intel?

19 A. No.

20 Q. Did he speak at most of them?

21 A. No.

22 Q. Do you know what determined which ones he spoke at  
23 and which ones he didn't?

24 A. No.

25 Q. Did he speak at the ones at Intel itself?

26 A. I'm sure he spoke at at least one.

27 Q. And did he speak at courses outside of this area?

28 A. No.

Q. Approximately how many courses, if you recall, did he speak at? *about 100*

#### A. Four or five. Cussed microprocessor applications

at t: Q. t: Were they all in this area?

A. Yes. I don't recall. Usually "at very little"

time. Q. R. Were they spread out throughout the period October, 1973 to September, 1974? have luncheons in connection with the

A. Mostly at the beginning when Mr. Brempolis was hired by Intel, he did most of the speaking. So Mr. Tai, when he spoke at the workshops, it would have been between October and March.

Q. Now, these meetings with Dr. Tai were related to the courses, were they not? Before he spoke, he would always

Most MR. HARDING: Repeat the question please. so he (Whereupon the reporter read back the previous question.)

MR. HARDING: Which meetings? *React to the question as*

MR. WELSH: "That he met with Dr. Tai in connection with the courses, and if you are asking for exactness,

you" MR. HARDING: I think you have mischaracterized what he said entirely. I think he specifically said he did not meet with Tai with respect to the courses, but at the courses he met with Mr. Tai on other subjects.

MR. WELSH: Q. Did you discuss the courses at all during these meetings with Dr. Tai at the university?

THE WITNESS: A. We did not discuss course content. I'm sure we would discuss facilities, maybe attendees, but not course content.

Q. Well, did you discuss anything else with him during

1 those meetings?

2 A. I don't recall. ~~at that Dr. Tai never attended those~~

3 Q. You never discussed microprocessor applications  
4 at that time?

5 A. I really don't recall. ~~Usually had very little work-~~  
6 time. It was like maybe five minutes at a time possibly.

7 Q. Did they ever have luncheons in connection with the  
8 workshops? ~~You did say you ran into him in the hall.~~

9 A. Yes. ~~sure I did. Where we sat as consultants was~~

10 Q. And did you attend the luncheons?

11 A. Yes. ~~You have offices at Intel as consultants?~~

12 Q. Did Dr. Tai attend the luncheons?

13 A. If he came early before he spoke, he would attend.  
14 Most of the time he would be speaking at the end of the course,  
15 so he just showed up right before he spoke. ~~the managers had~~

16 ~~offi~~ MR. HARDING: ~~there~~ I'm going to object to the question as  
17 vague and ambiguous. There is no specific meeting referred  
18 to in the question, and if you are asking for practices,  
19 you've not asked for practices. ~~you mean when a course was~~

20 ~~being~~ MR. WELSH: Q. At any of the meetings where Dr.  
21 Tai attended, do you recall having lunch with him, that is  
22 sitting next to him? ~~very how far from Mr. Tai's office were~~

23 ~~the~~ THE WITNESS: A. ~~you and Mr. No.~~ ~~was~~ ~~up~~

24 Q. Or with him sitting in the vicinity.

25 A. No. ~~you on the way to his office?~~

26 Q. Were courses or workshops held outside of this area?

27 A. Yes. ~~in his normal path to his office, did he pass~~

28 ~~when~~ Q. And did you conduct those courses?

1 A. Yes.

2 Q. And is it correct that Dr. Tai never attended those  
3 courses? *Witness him in what his normal path was.*

4 A. Yes.

5 Q. Other than those meetings with Dr. Tai at the work-  
6 shops, did you have any other meetings with him? *no.*

7 A. Not to my knowledge. *let's -- I believe you said a couple*

8 Q. You did say you ran into him in the hall.

9 A. I'm sure I did. Where we sat as consultants was  
10 just down the hall from where his office was.

11 Q. Did you have offices at Intel as consultants?

12 A. No. *at the same time in March of '74, then you would sit with*

13 him Q. Could you explain what you mean by "Where we sat was  
14 just down the hall from his office"?

15 A. Well, there is a corridor where the managers had  
16 offices, and then there is like a bullpen area where there were  
17 other people, and they had a desk for Manny and I up against  
18 a partition, and we sat there most of the time. *at the same time with him*

19 Q. By most of the time, you mean when a course was  
20 being given?

21 A. When we were developing the courses. *at the same time with him*

22 Q. Approximately how far from Dr. Tai's office were  
23 these seats where you and Mr. Lemas sat?

24 A. Fifty feet. *if you meet?*

25 Q. Were you on the way to his office?

26 A. From where to where?

27 Q. Well, in his normal path to his office, did he pass  
28 where you and Mr. Lemas sat?

1 A. No.

2 MR. HARDING: I object to the question as no foundation  
3 that this witness knows what his normal path was.

4 MR. WELSH: Q. I believe you did answer the  
5 question, did you not?

6 THE WITNESS: A. Yes, I did. I said no.

7 Q. After Mr. Brempolis left -- I believe you said around  
8 March of 1974?

9 A. Not left.

10 Q. Came?

11 A. Came.

12 Q. After he came in March of '74, then you worked with  
13 him and Mr. Garrow; is that correct?

14 A. Mostly him.

15 Q. Do you know where he's located today?

16 A. No.

17 Q. Was there anyone else other than Mr. Brempolis and  
18 Mr. Garrow that you worked with at Intel in connection with the  
19 content of the courses?

20 A. No.

21 Q. Did you have any other meetings with anyone else at  
22 Intel not directly related to the courses?

23 A. Yes.

24 Q. With whom did you meet?

25 A. Bill Davidow.

26 Q. Anyone else?

27 A. Hal Feeney.

28 Q. Anyone else?

1 A. Dane Elliott I believe was in some of the meetings.  
2 I really didn't have direct conversations with him pertaining  
3 to the course. with Mr. Davidow.

4 Q. Was Mr. Davidow's meetings pertaining to the course?

5 A. Mr. Davidow took Hank Smith's place, who was in  
6 charge of the microcomputer group. He was concerned about  
7 contractual obligations. format. Just casual gatherings of

8 Q. And he was in the meetings? we're doing, and just  
9 generally. A. often we would meet with him alone. interested in

10 how Q. Was this at the start of the consulting work after  
11 Smith left?

12 A. Yes. shortly after Mr. Davidow took Hank

13 Smith Q. And were those business discussions?

14 A. Yes.

15 Q. What were the business discussions? me time after

16 that A. Mainly pertaining to where we will be teaching  
17 courses, how many we can teach, and to some extent, how long  
18 we will be teaching. the.

19 Q. Did you have written contracts? is held?

20 A. I don't believe so. I was not involved in the  
21 contract portion of the interface.

22 that Q. But you were present when the meetings with Mr. a  
23 Davidow took place? so I'd say over a couple of weeks.

24 A. Yes, some of them, not all of them.

25 Q. Did you get into the content of the course at that  
26 time? between the session.

27 A. No. or than during workshops?

28 Q. Was Mr. Feeney present at those meetings?

1 A. I don't believe so.

2 Q. But Mr. Elliott was?

3 A. Not with Mr. Davidow.

4 Q. But he was in other meetings?

5 A. Yes.

6 Q. What were the other meetings?

7 A. Just nothing formal. Just casual gatherings of  
8 Feeney and Tai and Elliott to see how we're doing, and just  
9 general discussions on the courses. They were interested in  
10 how they were going, because it was kind of an experiment for  
11 them.

12 Q. And was this shortly after Mr. Davidow took Hank  
13 Smith's place?

14 A. Yes.

15 Q. And did the meetings continue for some time after  
16 that?

17 A. I said the time duration of the meetings was probably  
18 no more than two months.

19 Q. About how often were the meetings held?

20 A. They weren't formal meetings. Whenever we would  
21 drop into Intel to pick up supplies or pick up more notebooks  
22 that they were preparing for the course, it would just be a  
23 casual gathering. So I'd say over a couple of weeks.

24 Q. Were they between course sessions?

25 A. Yes; not every session, but when they occurred, they  
26 were between the session.

27 Q. Rather than during workshops?

28 A. Yes.

1 Q. Did such meetings even on a casual or informal basis  
2 continue after that first two-month period?

3 A. No.

4 Q. Did you have any informal meetings or just people  
5 getting together after that two-month period?

6 A. Not to my knowledge.

7 Q. Did anybody at Intel inquire about how the courses  
8 were going?

9 A. Mr. Lemmas had many conversations with Mr. Davidow.  
10 I was not involved in those.

11 Q. At those informal meetings, did you ever discuss  
12 the content of the courses?

13 A. No.

14 Q. Or changes?

15 A. No.

16 Q. I believe you stated you did discuss how the courses  
17 were going.

18 A. Right, in terms of the number of attendees, did all  
19 the equipment work, things like that.

20 Q. Did you ever discuss microprocessor applications  
21 with anyone at Intel during this 1973-74 period even apart from  
22 the courses?

23 A. I'm sure I did.

24 MR. HARDING: I instruct the witness not to speculate.

25 THE WITNESS: I don't recall.

26 MR. WELSH: Q. Did you have any interest during  
27 that period in learning of new applications for microprocessors?

28 THE WITNESS: A. Yes.

1 Q. What was the basis of that interest?

2 A. Because I'm interested in applying electronics.

3 Q. Was it just the general interest?

4 A. Yes. It was general interest from my personal  
5 point of view. It was specific from a consulting point of  
6 view.

7 Q. Could you amplify that please? How was it specific  
8 from a consulting point of view?

9 A. We would talk about course personnel. If they had  
10 problems which they didn't know how to solve, we advised them  
11 that we were consultants, and if they wanted to get in touch  
12 with us on a consulting basis, we would try to work out their  
13 problem.

14 Q. You discussed their specific applications with  
15 them?

16 A. Periodically if they would bring them up. Most of  
17 them would not talk about their applications, highly proprietary.

18 Q. You did have some discussions with Intel employees  
19 regarding microprocessor applications apart from the courses.

20 MR. HARDING: I object to the question as mischaracter-  
21 izing his testimony.

22 THE WITNESS: A. I don't recall.

23 MR. KATZ: First he said "I'm sure I did."

24 THE WITNESS: No, I said "I'm sure I didn't."

25 MR. HARDING: Do you have a question, Mr. Welsh, now  
26 of the witness?

27 MR. WELSH: Yes.

28 MR. WELSH: Q. Did you ever meet or discuss with

1 anyone at Intel during the '73-'74 period microprocessor applications apart from the courses?

2 THE WITNESS: A. I do not recall any specific meetings with Intel personnel on applications.

3 Q. Do you believe you did have such meetings?

4 MR. HARDING: I object to the question as calling for speculation of the witness.

5 MR. WELSH: Q. You may answer it.

6 THE WITNESS: A. That could be possible.

7 Q. Do you believe it actually occurred?

8 MR. HARDING: I once again object to the question as calling for this witness to speculate and/or form opinions at this deposition.

9 THE WITNESS: A. No.

5 MR. KATZ: Could you go back about five questions and answers. I thought that was "I'm sure I did."

7 (Whereupon the reporter read back the following question and answer:

9 to Mr. "Q. Did you ever discuss microprocessor applications 0 with anyone at Intel during this 1973-74 period even apart 1 from the courses?"

2 "A. I'm sure I did.")

3 MR. WELSH: Q. Is that the answer you gave to 4 that question?

5 THE WITNESS: A. Yes.

6 MR. WELSH: Mr. Reporter, I'd like you to repeat the 7 question and answer in the transcript.

8 MR. RIFKIN: Generally I object to reproducing

questions in the record if they are not orally expressed at a deposition the second time. This is a transcript of what has been said, not of what you asked the reporter to reproduce at some point. I do have a problem with that, Mr. Welsh.

MR. WELSH: I think it's important in this case to show what question and answer were read to the witness to which he answered that was the answer he gave. Without having some reference to it, then the record would be meaningless in that regard.

MR. RIFKIN: Fine, so just reask the question again.

MR. WELSH: No. I've asked the reporter just to reproduce the question that he read before I ask the next question.

MR. RIFKIN: I would ask the reporter not to insert into this transcript anything that is not stated by counsel or by the witness.

(Off-the-record discussion.)

MR. HARDING: Off the record we have agreed to accede to Mr. Welsh's position to recopy the question at this point, and I would voir dire the witness at this spot.

did you have a specific occasion in mind when you said "I'm sure I did?"

THE WITNESS: No. There was general discussion.

MR. HARDING: What was the basis for saying then that you are sure you did?

THE WITNESS: Because over a period of many, many months, one of them may have made a comment, and it was on that basis. It was certainly speculation. I do not recall any.

1 specific occasion.

2 MR. HARDING: Thank you.

3 MR. WELSH: Q. Did you ever make any effort to  
4 discuss specific applications with any personnel of Intel, that  
5 is applications for microprocessors apart from the courses?

6 THE WITNESS: A. No.

7 Q. Other than your general interest in applying  
8 electronics, did you have an interest in learning about different  
9 microprocessor applications?

10 A. Yes.

11 Q. What was that?

12 A. Would you rephrase the question?

13 Q. What interest other than the general interest in  
14 applying electronics did you have in learning about micro-  
15 processor applications?

16 MR. HARDING: Object, as asked and answered.

17 THE WITNESS: A. Earlier I said we were in the  
18 consulting business. It was on that basis that we had an  
19 interest. *in order to make sure we...*

20 MR. WELSH: Q. Was that the only interest other  
21 than the general interest, because you were in the consulting  
22 business?

23 THE WITNESS: A. There was general personal  
24 interest and consulting interest.

25 Q. Do you recall any of those applications?

26 A. No.

27 MR. RIFKIN: Mr. Welsh, I just note for the record  
28 that Mr. Holt has produced a myriad number of documents this

1 morning, and this I assume is not a memory test. From those  
2 documents and others that you do have you can get some of the  
3 information you are seeking if that's the purpose.

4 MR. HARDING: Especially since the same questions  
5 have been asked and answered two and three times within a brief  
6 time span.

7 MR. WELSH: That isn't necessary, Mr. Harding,  
8 either because the witness hasn't understood the question or  
9 for some other reason the answer does not always seem to be  
10 the same.

11 MR. HARDING: In your opinion.

12 MR. WELSH: Yes.

13 MR. RIFKIN: The witness in my view, Mr. Welsh, has  
14 indicated when he doesn't understand your questions and the  
15 ones that he does understand, he's been answering.

16 MR. WELSH: It's 12:30. This might be a good time  
17 to break for lunch.

18 MR. HARDING: We do ask for an expedited lunch  
19 session in order to make sure we finish as quickly as possible.  
20 (Recess for lunch.)

21 THE WITNESS: A.

22 Q. What was your familiarity with

23 A. I interviewed for a job with

24 Semiconductors for the multibus. I was working on a program  
25 that used a 32 bit microprocessor. I was asked to do some work  
informal to of what they were going to do.

26 Q. Any other familiarity?

27 A. No.

AFTERNOON SESSION

1 MR. WELSH: Q. Mr. Holt, at the present time do  
2 you have any recollection of having any familiarity in the  
3 1973-74 time frame with an application of a microprocessor  
4 in traffic light controllers?

5 THE WITNESS: A. I only remember seeing it listed  
6 on an Intel ad. But I believe there was at least one article  
7 in the trade journal on it.

8 Q. What trade journal?

9 A. Do you want me to list all of the ones I know of at  
10 the time?

11 Q. The one you do remember.

12 A. Mostly like EDN.

13 Q. Is that an abbreviation or initials for something?

14 MR. HARDING: I'm instructing the witness not to  
15 speculate. At this time do you have any

16 THE WITNESS: A. That's just what they have on it.  
17 I don't know what it stands for.

18 MR. WELSH: Q. At this time do you have any  
19 recollection of having had a familiarity in 1973-74 time  
20 period with any applications of microprocessors for automobiles?

21 THE WITNESS: A. Yes.

22 Q. What was your familiarity there?

23 A. I interviewed for a job position with Fairchild  
24 Semiconductor for the position of manager of their department  
25 that had applied microprocessors to automobiles, and they  
26 informed me of what they were getting into.

27 Q. Any other familiarity?

28 A. No.

1 Q. Do you have any recollection of Dr. Tai discussing  
2 such an application with you in that 1973-74 time period?

3 A. No.

4 Q. Do you have any recollection of discussing traffic  
5 light controllers for microprocessors with Dr. Tai in the  
6 '73-'74 time period?

7 A. No.

8 Q. At this time, do you have any recollection of  
9 familiarity in the 1973-74 time period with an application of  
10 microprocessors for fast food machines?

11 A. No.

12 Q. Do you recall discussing any such application with  
13 Dr. Tai during the 1973-74 time period?

14 A. No.

15 Q. At this time do you recall having any familiarity  
16 in the '73-'74 time period with an application of micro-  
17 processors for airline ticket reservations?

18 A. No.

19 Q. Do you recall discussing such an application with  
20 Dr. Tai in the '73-'74 time period?

21 A. No.

22 Q. Referring to Exhibit B in the agenda for the various  
23 days of the workshops given there, were those agendas followed  
24 in the courses that you and Mr. Lemas gave?

25 A. Pretty close.

26 Q. Referring to MCS-4 agenda day three in the afternoon,  
27 there is noted a lecture entitled "Review of Selected Applica-  
28 tions." Who gave that lecture?

1 A. Mr. Lemas did he lab in the afternoon of day one,

2 Q. Who selected the applications which were discussed  
3 there? So in the afternoon of day three; is that correct?

4 A. Normally his procedure would be to ask the class to  
5 talk about what they are involved in and what particular  
6 problems they thought they might face, and he would develop it  
7 further. So it was kind of off the cuff. He gave the class  
8 a real chance to interface with the instructor.

9 Q. This says "Review of Selected Applications." so you

10 cond. A. Well, selected from the audience.

11 Q. Not preselected?

12 A. No. He may have done that sometimes, but I don't  
13 know of that.

14 MR. HARDING: I instruct the witness not to speculate.

15 work. MR. WELSH: you and Q. Did you discuss with him any  
16 applications to be discussed in this review indicated on the  
17 afternoon of MCS-4 agenda day three? yes for others than just

18 Inte: THE WITNESS: A. No.

19 Q. Who prepared this agenda? object, Mr. Welsh. This

20 is c. A. Mr. Lemas. the scope of our agreement concerning the T

21 aff. Q. Did you have anything to do with it? within it.

22 A. I taught the portion of the workshop that had to do  
23 with the labs. So from my outline of what I would teach in  
24 the lab, he prepared the overall outline.

25 cert. Q. Referring to the MCS-4 workshop, would you tell us  
26 what portions you conducted?

27 A. Do you want me to list the name of everywhere it  
28 says lab? Mr. Bals did have something on it with applications.

Q. 10. So that would be lab in the afternoon of day one, one in the morning of day two, and one in the afternoon of day two, two in the afternoon of day three; is that correct?

A. 11. One in the morning of day three and one in the afternoon.

Q. 12. And did Mr. Lemas conduct the remainder of the courses?

A. 13. Yes.

Q. 14. Is that generally true of MCS-8 workshop, also you conducted the lab, and he did the rest of it?

A. 15. Yes.

Q. 16. Is it also true of the PL/M workshop?

A. 17. Yes.

Q. 18. Did you keep the same format for all of the Intel workshops that you and Mr. Lemas conducted?

A. 19. Yes.

Q. 20. Did you also conduct courses for others than just Intel?

MR. HARDING: 21. I am going to object, Mr. Welsh. This is clearly outside the scope of our agreement concerning the Tai affidavit unless you can explain to me how it's within it.

MR. WELSH: 22. Also I think it goes to the credibility of the witness as to his testimony with respect to the conduct of the workshops and applications. The applications were certainly referred to in the Tai affidavit, and he has indicated that applications were not discussed in workshops, and I think clearly you will see that there were other workshops that apparently Mr. Holt did have something to do with applications.

1 I think it's significant. He has also stated he did not  
2 discuss applications with Dr. Tai and has little recollection  
3 of discussing them with Intel personnel. So I think that any-  
4 thing he had to do with applications is relevant.

5 MR. HARDING: We don't agree, but in the interest of  
6 your having your full day to seek discovery on this issue,  
7 we're going to allow you to proceed. We do again request you  
8 be reasonable. Represent him in connection with his corporation.

9 MR. WELSH: Q. Now, did you bring certain  
10 documents with you today? can be waived if he discloses

11 THE WITNESS: A. I do. Yes.

12 Q. Would you tell us on the record what documents you  
13 brought with you? Q. Do you recall a telephone conversa-

14 MR. HARDING: You mean generally?

15 MR. WELSH: Yes, generally. Well, of course not  
16 document by document.

17 THE WITNESS: A. Generally I brought three separate  
18 collection of documents. One is a box, a white box approximately  
19 two feet by two feet by one foot, which is located in my office  
20 at Synertek Systems. I also brought some documents which were  
21 at my house. There was a box approximately one foot by one  
22 foot by one foot. Also I brought some rolled-up vellums, which  
23 were part of an exhibit from my last deposition. As I remember  
24 they were Ramtek schematics. search, what were you doing?

25 MR. HARDING: I want the record to clearly reflect that  
26 it is the defendant's position that these documents from what  
27 we have seen of them are clearly outside the scope of the  
28 deposition and the narrow subpoena notice, and were only brought

1 by this witness for whatever reason that he brought them.

2 MR. WELSH: Q. Did you discuss with anyone what  
3 documents you would bring?

4 THE WITNESS: A. Yes.

5 Q. With whom did you have such discussions?

6 A. Mr. Ted Neils.

7 MR. HARDING: I want to caution the witness that al-  
8 though I don't represent him in connection with his corporation,  
9 there is a certain privilege between the witness and his  
10 counsel, and the privilege can be waived if he discloses  
11 certain communications. I ask him to just consider that  
12 subject. Yes.

13 MR. WELSH: Q. Do you recall a telephone conversa-  
14 tion with me about the document?

15 THE WITNESS: A. Possibly yesterday you might have  
16 mentioned it.

17 Q. Do you remember telling me that you had discussed  
18 the documents of the subpoena with Mr. Neils, and that he  
19 indicated it would be appropriate to provide all of the documents  
20 described in the subpoena?

21 A. Yes.

22 Q. Did you make a search for the documents?

23 A. Yes.

24 Q. When you made the search, what were you looking for?

25 MR. HARDING: I'm going to object to the question to  
26 the effect that it's Mr. Welsh's language of -- that these  
27 documents fall within the scope of the subpoena. This witness  
28 has not indicated that they do or on what basis that he

1 produced them other than he just brought them according to his attorney's suggestion. I object to any inference that the witness made a determination that they were within the scope of the subpoena.

2 MR. WELSH: Could we have the question?

3 (Whereupon the reporter read back the previous question.)

4 THE WITNESS: A. I was looking for all documents related to the second and third page of the subpoena.

5 MR. WELSH: Q. That's the page marked Exhibit A?

6 THE WITNESS: A. Yes.

7 Q. And you selected these documents which you brought?

8 A. Yes.

9 MR. HARDING: I am going to object to the last question as being overly leading on what documents he selected and what he was instructed to bring to the deposition.

10 MR. WELSH: Q. Do these documents come from the files that you worked with at Compata and Microcomputer Associates?

11 THE WITNESS: A. No.

12 Q. Could you describe generally where they came from?

13 A. They came strictly from the files of Microcomputer Associates.

14 Q. And were they documents that you worked with while you were at Microcomputer Associates?

15 A. Not necessarily.

16 Q. Were they documents, to the best of your knowledge, that were kept in the ordinary course of business of Microcomputer Associates?

1 MR. HARDING: Objection, lack of foundation that this  
2 witness has any knowledge on that subject.

3 THE WITNESS: Will you restate the question?

4 MR. WELSH: Could you restate it?

5 (Whereupon the reporter read back the previous question.)

6 MR. HARDING: I also object to the question being  
7 vague. We have a substantial number of documents, and I don't  
8 know if Mr. Welsh is referring to any specific documents or  
9 if he's referring to the entire collection as a group.

10 MR. WELSH: Can you answer the question?

11 THE WITNESS: Hardin A. I don't know what you call  
12 ordinary. These were documents I could find from Microcomputer  
13 Associates.

14 Q. From the files of Microcomputer Associates?

15 A. Yes. ~~he~~ ~~had~~ ~~discussed~~ the agreement with you prior to  
16 that ~~Q. me~~? Did you discuss the document production with Mr.  
17 Harding or Mr. Rifkin?

18 A. Yes. ~~he~~ ~~tell~~ ~~you~~ what he thought the agreement meant.

19 Q. When did you do that?

20 A. Mostly likely -- I'm not sure at what point in time.  
21 ~~Q. t~~ Was it this week?

22 A. Yes. ~~the~~ ~~scope~~ ~~of~~ ~~the~~ ~~deposition~~ ~~would~~ ~~be~~ ~~limited~~  
23 ~~Q. t~~ Was it Tuesday afternoon?

24 A. Which day did all of you come here? ~~Q. t~~ ~~that~~  
25 ~~Q. o D~~ Tuesday.

26 A. Tuesday. ~~By~~ ~~understanding~~.

27 Q. Was it before Mr. Katz and Mr. Schnayer and I  
28 arrived at the Synertek offices?

1 A. No.

2 Q. Was it afterwards? *thing done with you at that time?*

3 A. Yes.

4 Q. What was the substance of the discussion? *What was the*

5 A. *I was encouraged to bring only the relevant*  
6 documents according to the agreement that the two sides had  
7 made previously. *or testimony to be given at the deposition. In*

8 Q. Are you informed of that agreement?

9 A. *I believe I was. No.*

10 Q. How did you become informed about it? *informed about*

11 A. *By Mr. Harding. By Dr. Tai.*

12 Q. Was it at that time that he informed you about the  
13 agreement? *Did you discuss that at all?*

14 A. Yes.

15 Q. Had he discussed the agreement with you prior to  
16 that time? *for one-by-one-by-one box of documents. I don't know you*

17 A. No. *iliar with these documents, so I don't know you*

18 Q. Did he tell you what he thought the agreement meant?

19 A. Yes. *s like two documents. I don't know you*  
20 Q. What did he tell you? *What did he tell you the*  
21 agreement meant? *1980 cruise. The purpose of the deposition*

22 A. *That the scope of the deposition would be limited*  
23 to my relationship with Mr. Phil Tai.

24 Q. Did he ask you to produce only documents that  
25 refer to Dr. Tai?

26 A. *That was my understanding.*

27 Q. Did he indicate any documents he thought you  
28 shouldn't bring? *first as to whether some documents were like*

1                   A.     No.

2                   Q.     Did he discuss anything else with you at that time?

3                   A.     No.

4                   MR. HARDING:     I object to the question as being vague  
5     and indefinite. What possibly can anything else mean?

6                   MR. WELSH:     Q.     Did he discuss with you any  
7     limitation of your testimony to be given at the deposition  
8     today?

9                   THE WITNESS:     A.     No.

10                  Q.     Did he suggest that you shouldn't answer questions  
11     that didn't relate directly to Dr. Tai?

12                  A.     No.

13                  Q.     Did you discuss that at all?

14                  A.     No.

15                  Q.     I show you now a group of six pages which appeared  
16     in the smaller one-by-one-by-one box I believe. I'll ask you  
17     if you're familiar with these documents, and if so, if you  
18     could tell us what they are?

19                  A.     Looks like two documents put together. One of them  
20     is an originally typed copy of the proposed outline of a  
21     three-day Intel 8080 course. The other one looks like a  
22     proposed outline for a course for two National Semiconductor  
23     Microprocessors.

24                  MR. HARDING:     Would you reread the question again for  
25     me?

26                  (Whereupon the reporter read back the previous question.)

27                  MR. HARDING:     I am going to object to the question as  
28     being compound; first as to whether he's familiar with the

1 document, and second, what they are. There is no showing the  
2 witness has any firsthand familiarity with these documents. ~~cross~~

3 Q. MR. WELSH: Q. Do you have anything to do with  
4 the preparation of either of these documents?

5 THE WITNESS: A. No.

6 Q. What did you have to do with the preparation of ~~cross~~  
7 the first three pages? ~~Exhibit 2~~

8 A. The sections pertaining to computer hardware I was  
9 involved in writing the paragraph. ~~documents here. Maybe you want~~

10 to ~~ask~~ Could you point to those specific paragraphs?

11 A. ~~in~~ Day one, Microcomputer Number Systems and ~~after the~~  
12 Controlling; day two, AM Hardware Interfacing; day two, PM, ~~you will~~  
13 Peripheral Device Drivers and Interfaces; day three -- ~~you will~~

14 Q. Did you discuss the entire document with Mr. Lemas?

15 A. Yes. Q. Would you read Mr. Welsh's last ~~cross~~

16 Q. ~~here~~ Did he prepare the rest of it? ~~in the previous statement~~  
17 by Mr. Welsh. Yes.

18 Q. Did you work with him in the preparation of the  
19 document? ~~be consulted with what documents are retained or~~

20 kept ~~and the~~ Yes. ~~position of the originals, since he was the one~~  
21 that MR. RIFKIN: ~~see doc.~~ Mr. Welsh, perhaps you could separate  
22 those two documents and mark the first one.

23 MR. WELSH: If you have no objection, that will be  
24 fine. ~~in we go ahead and pack them and then determine what we~~

25 THE WITNESS: ~~be?~~ No.

26 MR. WELSH: Do you have any objection, Mr. Harding?

27 and MR. HARDING: ~~er,~~ Are you representing that the documents  
28 were paper clipped together in the box? ~~in three-page document~~

1 MR. WELSH: Yes. We preserve the integrity of the  
2 documents. We did not separate them. That's the way they came  
3 out of the box.

4 MR. HARDING:

I have no objection.

5 THE WITNESS:

Fine with me.

6 MR. WELSH: Q. Do you mind if we mark the three-  
7 page document as an exhibit?

8 THE WITNESS: A. No.

9 Q. There will be other documents here. Maybe you want  
10 to take them up one by one. But we would like to mark them,  
11 and we can either retain them and return them to you after the  
12 matter is over, or we can make copies with an agreement with  
13 counsel, or we can send copies back to you, whichever you would  
14 like.

15 MR. HARDING: Would you read Mr. Welsh's last comment?  
16 (Whereupon the reporter read back the previous statement  
17 by Mr. Welsh.)

18 MR. HARDING: Mr. Welsh, I'm going to suggest that  
19 Mr. Neils be consulted with what documents are retained or  
20 kept and the disposition of the originals, since he was the one  
21 that ordered these documents produced. This witness was merely  
22 following instructions.

23 MR. WELSH: Well, do you suggest we do that now,  
24 or can we go ahead and mark them and then determine what the  
25 disposition will be?

26 MR. HARDING: I think that's appropriate. Mark them  
27 and determine later.

28 MR. WELSH:

Would you mark this three-page document

1 entitled Proposed Outline of a Three-Day Intel 8080 Course  
2 as Holt Deposition Exhibit C.

3 general testimony.

4 MR. WELSH: (Whereupon a three-page document  
5 marked Plaintiff's Exhibit Holt  
6 C for identification.)

7 MR. WELSH: Q. Was this outline actually followed  
8 in the conduct of the courses that were given by you and Mr.  
9 Lemas?

10 THE WITNESS: A. Not to my knowledge.

11 Q. Referring to Page 3 under day three, PM, with the  
12 time period of three hours indicated as a detailed discussion  
13 covering specific applications, was that portion of this pro-  
14 posed outline ever followed?

15 A. As we saw earlier in the Exhibit B brochure, there  
16 was a session in there that Mr. Lemas conducted with a similar  
17 description. I was never present.

18 Q. It's your testimony that that involved only applica-  
19 tions of the attendees at the conference?

20 A. To my knowledge.

21 Q. There were no preselected applications?

22 A. Not to my knowledge.

23 MR. RIFKIN: I object to the question as already having  
24 been asked and answered.

25 and MR. WELSH: Q. Were you present when Mr. Lemas  
26 conducted the portion of the workshops, specifically MCS-4,  
27 agenda day three, PM, where it refers to review of selected  
applications?

28 MR. HARDING: I object to the question as to vagueness.

11 both on whether he was always present at every meeting or  
12 whether presence means in a different room but yet in the same  
13 general facility.

14 MR. WELSH: Generally present.

15 MR. HARDING: I don't know what generally present  
16 means either.

17 MR. WELSH: Q. Did you have a custom with respect  
18 to being present when Mr. Lemas gave his portions of the programs?

19 THE WITNESS: A. No.

20 Q. Were you present sometimes?

21 A. No.

22 Q. You were never present when Mr. Lemas gave those  
23 portions of the program?

24 A. Referring to day three afternoon lecture?

25 Q. Yes.

26 Q. No, I was never present.

27 Q. Were you ever present when Mr. Lemas gave any  
28 portion of a workshop relating to selected applications?

A. No.

Q. During any of the courses, for what portion were  
you present?

A. Normally the first morning of the first day the  
entire class was together. Mr. Lemas presented an introduction  
and I was normally present at that time.

Q. With him?

A. Yes.

Q. Were you present at any other times?

A. Periodically we would bring the whole class back

1 together at the conclusion of the third day. Most of the time  
2 we ran out of time to do that. When we brought the class back  
3 together, I was normally involved. you present for the 73  
4 Q. Was that during the portion indicated as critique  
5 or course critique? A. Yes.

6 A. Yes, that's what I'm referring to.

7 Q. Were you present during the lab portions?

8 A. When? MR. WELSH?

9 Q. Well, during the lab portions for the MCS-4 work-  
10 shop, for example, correct?

11 A. Yes.

12 MR. HARDING: Are you talking about practices now or  
13 specific courses?

14 MR. WELSH: I said any of the courses.

15 MR. HARDING: I am not sure it's been established that  
16 this witness participated in each and every course.

17 MR. WELSH: Q. Did you participate in the lab  
18 portions of the MCS-4 workshop?

19 THE WITNESS: A. Yes. Q. And the same for the lab portions of the MCS-8  
20 workshop?

21 A. Yes.

22 MR. HARDING: Is that over the entire '73-'74 time  
23 period?

24 MR. WELSH: During the time when he and Mr. Lemas  
25 gave the courses.

26 MR. HARDING: Each and every course for the two-year  
27 period?

1 WITNESS MR. WELSH: Yes.

2 THE WITNESS: A. Yes.

3 MR. WELSH: Q. Were you present for the lab  
4 portions of the PL/M workshops?

5 THE WITNESS: A. Yes.

6 Q. But you were never present during any of the other  
7 sessions other than the first morning of the first day  
8 presentation of Mr. Lemas? *Discussions with him about the*

9 A. Yes, that's correct.

10 Q. That's correct?

11 A. Yes. *He never attended any of those workshops?*

12 Q. I hand you the second three pages of the six that  
13 I handed you earlier. Did you have anything to do with the *There*  
14 preparation of that? *U certainly haven't gone into the subject*  
15 you *to* A. Not to my knowledge.

16 Q. Are you familiar with the document? *What did you*

17 A. *I'm familiar with the name on the document.*

18 Q. Were there ever any workshops given for National  
19 Semiconductor in connection with its IM/P 8 and 16 micro-*last*  
20 processors? *supposed to make it relevant, we strongly dis-*

21 A. Yes. *again object to plaintiffs prolonging this*

22 Q. Did you have anything to do with those? *lication*

23 A. No. *whether there is a showing of a connection with*

24 Q. Who gave those so far as you know?

25 A. Mr. Norton, I believe that's his name. *He was an*  
26 employee of Compata about the time Manny and I left. *He was*  
27 involved with National Semiconductor on those courses.

28 Q. Were you familiar with the content of those *selected from*

1 workshops? Do you know what you brought to law, and what it had to  
2 do with you bringing to law, and what it had to do with  
3

4 A. No.

5 Q. Did you ever have any discussions with Mr. Norton  
6 about them? Do you know what you brought to law, and what it had to do with  
7

8 A. About --

9 Q. About the workshops. Three-page document entitled  
10

11 A. Yes. Two-and-a-half day Microcomputer Seminar and ask  
12

13 Q. Did you have any discussions with him about the  
14 content of the workshops?

15 A. Yes. Do you have any familiarity with any TIW two-and-a-

16 Q. Did you ever attend any of those workshops?

17 A. No.

18 MR. RIFKIN: Is the Mr. Welsh, this is so far afield. There  
19 is no connection. You certainly haven't gone into the subject  
20 you used for the connection earlier.

21 MR. WELSH: That was my question. My next question was did you ever  
22 discuss applications with Mr. Norton?

23 THE WITNESS: My answer is No.

24 MR. HARDING: Let the record show that if that last  
25 question was supposed to make it relevant, we strongly disagree. We once again object to plaintiffs prolonging this  
26 limited deposition by going into each and every application  
27 regardless of whether there is a showing of a connection with  
28 Dr. Tai.

MR. WELSH: Q. Did you have anything to do with  
a NEL three-day microcomputer seminar?

THE WITNESS: A. No, It doesn't sound familiar. You

Q. I show you another three-page document selected from

1 the smaller box which you brought today, and ask if that's  
2 familiar to you? I mean, I've stated my objection.

3 A. This does not look familiar. say a year to a year  
4 and

5 Q. Does the term NEL mean anything to you? Lemas was  
6 cont A. No. a company I was working with called American  
7 Micro

8 Q. I hand you another three-page document entitled  
9 Agenda TRW two-and-a-half day Microcomputer Seminar and ask  
10 if this document is familiar to you?

11 A. No. A. Yes.

12 Q. Do you have any familiarity with any TRW two-and-a-  
13 half day microcomputer seminars?

14 A. Yes, we were working on the project together.

15 Q. What is the basis of your familiarity? say that  
16 A. I knew Mr. Lemas was doing a proposal for them at  
17 one time.

18 Q. Did that ever result in any seminars being given  
19 involving Mr. Lemas? Do you know?

20 A. Not to my knowledge.

21 Q. Is it correct that you joined Compata in July of  
22 1973? A. Yes.

23 A. That sounds close. full time on the project?

24 Q. Did Mr. Lemas join Compata at the same time?

25 A. No. I.e?

26 Q. When did you first begin to work with Mr. Lemas?

27 MR. HARDING: as best I am going to object to that sequential  
28 questioning, Mr. Welsh. We have not objected very strenuously  
on leading grounds. However, when you have in front of you  
a set of notes from a prior deposition, and you ask questions

1 tending to elicit a particular answer from the witness, I  
2 think it's objectionable. I've stated my objection.  
3

4 THE WITNESS: A. Approximately a year to a year  
5 and a half previous to when I joined Compata, Mr. Lemas was  
6 contracted to a company I was working with called American  
7 Microsystems. ~~compata~~ working with Mr. Lemas at American  
8

9 MR. WELSH: Q. You were employed by American  
10 Microsystems at the time? ~~compata~~ at the time  
11

12 THE WITNESS: A. Yes. ~~compata~~ at the time  
13

14 Q. Did you work on a project with him or just meet  
15 him? ~~compata~~ at the time  
16

17 A. We were working on the project together. ~~compata~~ at the time  
18

19 Q. Did that occupy -- did that project occupy that  
20 period of a year to a year and a half before you went to  
21 Compata? ~~compata~~ at the time  
22

23 Q. A. The project stopped a month to two months before  
24 I joined Compata. ~~compata~~ at the time  
25

26 Q. And during the time you were working on the project,  
27 did you and Mr. Lemas work closely together?  
28

A. Yes. ~~without leaving~~ at the time  
29

30 Q. Did you spend your full time on the project?  
31

A. I did, yes. ~~After you~~ at the time  
32

Q. Did he? ~~Mr. Lemas~~  
33

A. Not to my knowledge. ~~After you~~ at the time  
34

35 Q. What was his position on the project?  
36

A. He's a programming consultant. ~~After you~~ at the time  
37

38 Q. Did you work in hardware for the project?  
39

A. Yes. ~~After you~~ at the time  
40

1 Q. Did you work closely with him? *about us to be work*

2 A. *With Mr. Lemas? were with Compata?*

3 Q. Yes. *A. Mr. Lemas was the project leader.*

4 A. *Yes. for the Intel workshop. He was mainly*

5 involved. *Q. And after the project was completed, did you*

6 subsequently commence working with Mr. Lemas again? *of the first*

7 A. *At Compata. At the workshop.*

8 Q. And you stated he did not go there at the same time  
9 you did. Approximately how soon after you went there did he  
10 go? *A. There were times in between where I was pulled off*

11 to do *A. He was there before I got there.*

12 Q. Oh. Was he working for Compata at the time he was  
13 a consultant to American Microsystems?

14 A. Yes.

15 MR. HARDING: *I object strongly on the line of leading*  
16 questions in this background information and Mr. Welsh's off-  
17 the-cuff comments of seeming surprise when he asks a question  
18 inconsistent with the facts. I request Mr. Welsh to allow  
19 the witness to testify, and Mr. Welsh merely to ask questions  
20 of the witness without leading to the degree he's leading.

21 MR. WELSH: *Just trying to speed up the process.*

22 MR. WELSH: *Q. After you joined Compata, did you*  
23 work closely with Mr. Lemas? *is not as clear cut as it was*

24 MR. HARDING: *I'm going to object to that question on*  
25 the basis of what closely means. *It's an argumentative term,*  
26 and if Mr. Welsh wants to know the basis of a relationship,  
27 I request that he ask this witness what the relationship was.

28 MR. WELSH: *I'll change my question.*

1 MR. WELSH: Q. What was the relationship between  
2 you and Mr. Lemas while you were with Compata? A. ~~As you know, I was an associate.~~

3 THE WITNESS: A. Mr. Lemas was the project leader  
4 or project manager for the Intel workshops. He was mainly  
5 involved in the business of programming relationship, and I  
6 worked closely with him, almost one hundred percent of the time,  
7 doing the hardware portion of the workshop.

8 Q. Did that relationship continue until you both left  
9 compata? A. ~~Using that term earlier. Now, during the time we~~

10 and I. There were times in between where I was pulled off  
11 to do other small type jobs. ~~now.~~

12 Q. Did the relationship continue when you formed  
13 Microcomputer Associates? ~~as I have said.~~

14 A. Yes. ~~I want the witness to answer the~~

15 Q. And did that relationship continue through the year  
16 1974? ~~Throughout the report, read back the previous question.~~

17 A. Not totally. ~~As Mr. Lemas and I were two of those~~

18 Q. To what extent did it stop? ~~it's a day we were in~~

19 A. Well, in April of '74, we were in a business together.  
20 So we both assumed whatever responsibilities that were needed  
21 to keep the business going, whether it was marketing, financial,  
22 manufacturing, programming, engineering. We both kind of  
23 dabbled in everything. It was not as clear-cut as it was  
24 during Compata. ~~in affidavits, previous direct, comments~~

25 Q. Did the two of you form Microcomputer Associates  
26 together? ~~According to the Tai affidavit in the last half an hour,~~

27 A. We were the two officers that formed it. I should  
28 rephrase that. We were the two major stockholders. ~~and~~

1 Q. And did you maintain close contact with each other  
2 during the time after you formed Microcomputer Associates?

3 MR. HARDING: I object to the question on the same  
4 grounds as previously. What close contact means is only  
5 known to Mr. Welsh.

6 MR. WELSH: I believe the witness himself used  
7 the term close.

8 MR. HARDING: Only in responding to your leading  
9 questions using that term earlier. Now, during the Compata  
10 and the years at Microcomputer Associates, I think the witness  
11 ought to use his own terminology.

12 THE WITNESS: Is there a question pending?

13 MR. WELSH: I believe so.

14 MR. HARDING: I request the witness to answer the  
15 question in his own terms.

16 (Whereupon the reporter read back the previous question.)

17 THE WITNESS: Mr. Lemas and I were two of three  
18 employees in the company, and eight hours a day we were in  
19 contact with each other.

20 MR. WELSH: Q. Did you keep each other informed  
21 about your respective duties?

22 THE WITNESS: On a general basis, yes.

23 MR. HARDING: Mr. Welsh, is all this in the subject  
24 matter of the Tai affidavit? Defendants object. Defendants  
25 do object on the relevancy grounds. There is no conceivable  
26 relationship to the Tai affidavit in the last half an hour,  
27 and the witness is sitting here blowing his nose and sniffing  
28 and trying to continue the deposition.

1 *last* MR. WELSH: Q. Do you still work together, Mr.  
2 Holt? *Mr. Holt, 1984 for Microcomputer Associates, Inc.*

3 THE WITNESS: A. Yes, if there is any confidential  
4 info. Q. How would you describe your relationship? *fact, Mr.*

5 *Neil A. Lemas* In what terms? *I ask if that needs to be reduced to*  
6 a *fact*? Q. Well, would you say it's still a close relationship  
7 with Mr. Lemas? *social information.*

8 A. Business relationship? *my thoughts were.*

9 Q. *Financial* Yes. *points were -- when Rose, Neil bought us, we*  
10 were A. *asked* Not as close as before. *social information.*

11 Q. And what do you mean by before; before you formed  
12 Synertek? *stated in here without revealing financial information.*

13 A. During Microcomputer Associates. *the relevance to the*

14 Q. You say not as close as before, but would you still  
15 say it's close? *This goes to the credibility of the*

16 with MR. HARDING: Objection, merely argumentative,  
17 completely undefined what Mr. Welsh means by his term close.

18 Q. THE WITNESS: Mr. Lemas and I are aware of each  
19 other's duties and responsibilities. *are that are coming up, etc.*

20 yes. MR. WELSH: Q. On a daily basis?

21 THE WITNESS: A. *Yes.* No. *mainly at best. We consider*

22 Q. *I believe you stated earlier that Microcomputer*  
23 *Associates, in addition to conducting courses, also had a*  
24 *consulting business.* *I asked him if he was familiar with the*

25 A. Yes.

26 Q. I now hand you a document which was in the larger  
27 box that was produced on Tuesday, and ask if you recognize it  
28 that document? It appears to be a balance sheet with a cover

1 letter from Hicks & Holder, Certified Public Accountants, dated  
2 December 14, 1974 for Microcompter Associates Inc.  
3

4 MR. HARDING: Mr. Holt, if there is any confidential  
5 information in that, I would suggest you perhaps contact Mr.  
6 Neils or your counsel, and ask if that needs to be subject to  
7 a protective order. I don't see the relevancy to the affidavit  
8 of Dr. Tai, but --

9 THE WITNESS: That's what my thoughts were. These  
10 financial statements were -- when Honeywell bought us, we  
11 were asked not to divulge any financial information.

12 MR. WELSH: Is that I think we can cover the subject that  
13 I'm interested in here without revealing financial information.

14 MR. HARDING: Well, can you show the relevancy to the  
15 Tai affidavit of the question in connection with these documents?  
16 MR. WELSH: This goes to the credibility of the  
17 witness.

18 MR. HARDING: Do all of your questions go to the  
19 credibility of the witness? Is that the relevancy?

20 MR. WELSH: Many of the ones that are coming up do,  
21 yes.

22 MR. HARDING: Well, we strongly object. We consider  
23 it an abuse of the agreement.

24 MR. RIFKIN: There is no outstanding question.

25 MR. WELSH: I asked him if he was familiar with the  
26 document.

27 THE WITNESS: I've seen this one before, yes.

28 MR. WELSH: Q. Turning to the sixth page under  
Notes to Financial Statement at November 30, 1974, there appears

1 a Paragraph 3 entitled Microcomputer Digest. Are you familiar  
2 with that note?

3 MR. HARDING: I notice there are costs and figures in  
4 that paragraph, Mr. Welsh.

5 MR. WELSH: I have avoided bringing them up, and I  
6 intend to continue to avoid it.

7 THE WITNESS: A. I'm familiar with the content of  
8 the paragraph.

9 MR. WELSH: Q. There is a statement that reads  
10 "The company entered into the publication field during the  
11 current year." Is that an accurate statement, to the best of  
12 your knowledge?

13 THE WITNESS: A. Yes.

14 Q. Could you explain what the entry of the  
15 company into the publication field during the current year  
16 was? Q. What was the entry?

17 MR. HARDING: I object to the question, lack of  
18 foundation that this witness had any direct knowledge of the  
19 meaning of that particular sentence. It's not been established  
20 that he has done anything other than seen this document in  
21 the past. WELSH:

22 MR. WELSH: He said the statement was accurate to  
23 the best of his knowledge.

24 MR. WELSH: Q. To the best of your knowledge, what  
25 was the entry of the company into the publication field during  
26 the current year?

27 MR. HARDING: Unless it's shown that the witness  
28 has knowledge with respect to this, I object to any speculation

1 on his part as to what the writer intended to convey by that  
2 sentence.

3 MR. WELSH: I'm not asking what he thinks the writer  
4 intended to convey.

5 MR. HARDING: I don't understand the question then,  
6 and object accordingly.

7 MR. WELSH: Q. Did the company enter into the  
8 publication field in 1974?

9 THE WITNESS: A. The company, Microcomputer  
10 Associates, had a magazine they published.

11 Q. Did they commence a publication of the magazine in  
12 1974?

13 A. Based on that statement, I would say yes.

14 Q. Well, do you have any recollection apart from that?

15 A. No, I would refer to the first issue of the magazine.

16 Q. What was the name of the magazine?

17 A. Microcomputer Digest.

18 Q. Do you know whether that was intended as a business  
19 venture for the company?

20 MR. HARDING: Objection.

21 MR. WELSH: I asked if he knew.

22 MR. HARDING: Intended by whom. The question is  
23 ambiguous.

24 THE WITNESS: Repeat it.

25 (Whereupon the reporter read back the previous question.)

26 THE WITNESS: A. Yes.

27 MR. WELSH: Q. Was it a business venture?

28 THE WITNESS: A. Yes.

1  
2 Q. I hand you now another document which came out of  
3 the larger box of documents that you have also produced on  
4 Tuesday, September 16th, and ask you if you recognize that  
5 document, which purports to be printed matter entitled  
6 "Microcomputer Digest, July, 1974, Volume 1, Number 1?"

7 A. Yes, I recognize --  
8 MR. RIFKIN: Off the record for just a moment.  
9 (Off-the-record discussion.)

10 THE WITNESS: These are all valid copies of the  
11 Microcomputer Digest for the dates August '74, September '74,  
12 October '74, November 1974, December 1974, January 1975,  
13 February 1975 and March 1975.

14 MR. WELSH: Q. And the first one?  
15 THE WITNESS: A. The first one I looked at, July  
16 1974. A. Yes.

17 MR. WELSH: Q. Can we stipulate that these are copies  
18 of a publication of Microcomputer Associates Inc. entitled  
19 Microcomputer Digest for the months named by the witness,  
20 specifically monthly from July of 1974 through March of 1975?

21 MR. HARDING: Q. We will stipulate that those are copies  
22 of Microcomputer Digests during those dates; that this witness  
23 is familiar with those. Whether they are a publication will  
24 I guess depend upon your establishing them as a publication.  
25 I don't know what disposition was done with those documents.  
So therefore we can't stipulate to them.

26 MR. WELSH: Q. Are these copies of publications  
27 published by Microcomputer Associates in the months which are  
28 named on them? A. Yes.

1  
2 MR. HARDING: I am going to object. The term  
3 publication is a legal term having special meaning in the  
4 field of patent law, and I ask that you ask the witness what  
5 was done with them.

6 MR. WELSH: Q. Each of these documents on the  
7 first pages contains the statement "Published Monthly by  
8 Microcomputer Associates Inc." Is that an accurate statement  
9 to the best of your knowledge?

10 THE WITNESS: A. Yes.

11 Q. Did you consider these to be publications of  
12 Microcomputer Associates Inc.?

13 A. Yes.

14 Q. Did Microcomputer Associates sell subscriptions to  
15 this publication?

16 A. Yes.

17 Q. And were these issues actually distributed in  
18 accordance with subscriptions?

19 A. Yes.

20 MR. WELSH: I would like to mark these also as  
21 Exhibit D1 through D9.

22 THE WITNESS: A.

23 Q. Did he write all o

24 A. He formatted all of

25 Q. THE WITNESS: You are referring to D1 or

26 (Whereupon copies of a publication  
27 entitled Microcomputer Digest for  
the months July 1974 through  
March 1975 were marked Plaintiff's  
Exhibit Holt D1 through D9 for  
identification.)

28 all MR. WELSH: Q. Referring to Exhibit D1, did you  
have anything to do with the preparation of this volume 1,  
Number 1, July 1974 issue of Microcomputer Digest?

THE WITNESS: A. Yes.

1 Q. What did you have to do with that?

2 A. Myself and Mr. Lemas had final review authority.

3 Q. Did you have that authority jointly?

4 A. It was jointly exclusive you might say.

5 Q. Did you review the final copy together or separately?

6 A. Separately.

7 Q. Did you contribute any of the material in the digest?

8 A. Very seldom.

9 Q. Did you review personally every digest?

10 A. No. this is an extremely inefficient routine.

11 Q. Did you review this one?

12 A. Yes. Mr. Welsh, will you indicate the

13 Q. In its entirety?

14 A. Yes.

15 Q. Who wrote the various articles?

16 A. Mr. Darrell Crow.

17 MR. HARDING: I object to the question as being vague  
18 and ambiguous. even because of the credibility basis.

19 MR. WELSH: Q. Was he an employee of Microcomputer  
20 Associates?

21 THE WITNESS: A. Yes.

22 Q. Did he write all of the articles?

23 A. He formatted all the articles.

24 MR. HARDING: You are referring, Mr. Welsh, to D1 or  
25 all of the ones we've marked?

26 MR. WELSH: D1 is the only one we've been discussing  
27 so far. And so you mean with whom you corroborated?

28 MR. HARDING: And until you indicate otherwise, your

1       questions will be limited to D1.

2            MR. WELSH:           Q.     Did you supply any of the informa-  
3        tion to Mr. Crow for any of the articles in Exhibit D1?

4            MR. HARDING:        I am going to object once again going  
5        into this area of testimony when it is clearly outside the  
6        agreed scope of the deposition. It is not at all related  
7        to the Tai affidavit. There has been no connection established  
8        even with the courses that were taught, and we have been overly  
9        generous in allowing broad testimony on courses on subject  
10       matter. Now, this is an entirely independent topic.

11           THE WITNESS:        A.     Yes.

12           MR. HARDING:        Mr. Welsh, will you indicate the  
13        relevancy of the Tai affidavit to this?

14           MR. WELSH:         It's the same relevance that I expressed  
15        to you the last time you questioned, toward the credibility  
16        of the witness.

17           MR. HARDING:        In other words, everything you want to  
18        go into is relevant because of the credibility basis?

19           MR. WELSH:         I won't make that statement.

20           MR. HARDING:        Well, it's preposterous, and I think  
21        you are abusing the witness' time, and you are abusing our  
22        agreement.

23           MR. WELSH:         Q.     What information did you contribute  
24        for which articles, in specific D1?

25           THE WITNESS:        A.     I contributed some of the courses  
26        and dates listed on Page 9 and 10 of Exhibit D1.

27           Q.     And do you recall which ones you contributed?

28           A.     NO.

1 Q. Did you participate in the selection of the subject  
2 matter for the articles to be included in this Exhibit D1?

3 when A. No. set of him about them this morning.

4 Q. Referring to the section entitled Microcomputer-  
5 Based Products on Page 4, do you know what that refers to?

6 A. The name? Microcomputer-Based Products heading on  
7 Page Q. Yes. D1 relates to applications?

8 A. Yes. A. Just on this page?

9 Q. What? A. All of them under that heading.

10 A. Products that have a microcomputer inside of them.

11 Q. Would that be related to applications for micro-  
12 computers?

13 A. Yes. asking him to formulate opinions on questions

14 which Q. Are the products referred to your applications?

15 A. Referred to in that section? will agree to limit it,

16 then Q. Yes. him answer on this one. Otherwise if you go

17 through A. Some are, not totally. in the same time-consuming

18 line Q. Did you discuss with anyone any of these which are  
19 applications before they were included in here?

20 A. No. Q. You stated that some of those

21 articles Under this heading Microcomputer-Based Products, which are applications and which are not? do not.

22 MR. HARDING: Mr. Welsh, I think you are into the area of expert testimony here. Is this relevant to credibility?

23 MR. WELSH: Yes, it still is, very pertinent as to what he considers to be applications.

24 MR. HARDING: All applications are within the Tai affidavit; is that correct?

25 26 27 28 That was the question.

1  
2 MR. WELSH: Well, applications and his familiarity  
3 with them are certainly relevant as must have been evident to you  
4 when you inquired of him about them this morning.

5 MR. HARDING: I don't understand what you just said.

6 MR. WELSH: Q. Could you tell us which of the  
7 articles under the Microcomputer-Based Products heading on  
8 Page 4 of Exhibit D1 relates to applications?

9 THE WITNESS: A. Just on this page?

10 Q. Well, all of them under that heading.

11 MR. HARDING: Mr. Welsh, as long as you will not make  
12 a practice of asking opinion testimony of this witness without  
13 establishing that he had any opinions formed before the  
14 deposition, and asking him to formulate opinions on questions  
15 which you have derived somehow on subject matter outside the  
16 agreed scope of the deposition, if you will agree to limit it,  
17 then we'll let him answer on this one. Otherwise if you go  
18 through the next seven digests with the same time-consuming  
line of questioning, we will not allow it.

19 THE WITNESS: What was the question again?

20 MR. WELSH: Q. You stated that some of those  
21 articles relate to applications and some do not. I'm asking  
22 you to tell us which ones do and which ones do not.

23 THE WITNESS: A. I consider the ones that talk  
24 about an end user product are the ones that would be application  
25 articles. A couple of them right off don't talk about end  
26 user product. They are OEM products, hybrid microcomputer --

27 MR. HARDING: He did not ask that question. He asked  
28 under Microcomputer-Based Products. That was the question.

1 MR. WELSH: ~~in the catalog~~ I think he's answered the question.  
2

3 That is one of them under Microcomputer-Based Products.  
4

5 MR. HARDING: ~~in the catalog~~ All right, I'm sorry.  
6

7 THE WITNESS: ~~in the catalog~~ And the other one called Prolog Has  
8 Eights, that is not an application article. Those are the  
9 two I see right off.  
10

11 MR. WELSH: ~~in the catalog~~ Q. But the others in that heading  
12 right down to the black line on Page 5 are?  
13

14 THE WITNESS: ~~in the catalog~~ A. The others appear to be, yes.  
15

16 Q. Did you have anything to do with supplying information  
17 relating to the second and third paragraphs under the  
18 subheading Games Microcomputers Play on Page 6?  
19

20 A. No. ~~in the catalog~~

21 Q. Were you familiar with the information in those  
22 paragraphs at the time around 1974 when the issue was published?  
23

24 MR. HARDING: ~~in the catalog~~ Objection to the question as vague. Are  
25 you asking when he was familiar with the particular phrase or  
26 sentence or whether --  
27

28 MR. WELSH: ~~in the catalog~~ The subject matter. I believe I said  
the subject matter. ~~in the catalog~~

29 MR. HARDING: ~~in the catalog~~ No, you didn't say subject matter. So  
30 the question is the subject matter of that paragraph?  
31

32 MR. WELSH: ~~in the catalog~~ Yes.  
33

34 THE WITNESS: ~~in the catalog~~ Only because that it was in the digest.  
35

36 MR. WELSH: ~~in the catalog~~ Q. Did you have any information apart  
37 from that paragraph in the digest?  
38

39 THE WITNESS: ~~in the catalog~~ A. No.  
40

41 Q. How about the paragraph which states "Other firms  
42

1 such as Atari of Los Gatos, California, a producer of video  
2 electronic games, are looking into eight and sixteen bit  
3 microcomputers which they can use in an entire new line of  
4 games," were you familiar with that subject matter at the time  
5 of July, 1974? A. No.

6 A. No. Q. You didn't discuss with Mr. Lichten  
7 what Q. Is it correct that you did not discuss the content  
8 of any of the articles before they were published? A. No.

9 A. What do you mean? Q. What do you mean?

10 Q. Did you have anything to do with the selection of  
11 the subject matter of articles to be published in Exhibit D1?

12 A. Yes. Q. I object. He said twice times he had

13 fine Q. What did you have to do with that? I know how many

14 A. As I stated before, Manny and I both had review  
15 authority. Q. Subject matter of the deposition.

16 Q. That was after the article, after the publication  
17 was prepared for printing?

18 A. Yes. Q. Can you read it back?

19 Q. But did you have anything to do with determining  
20 what subject matter would go into the issue? Q. Subject matter. Smartline  
21 A. As I said before back on Page 9 and 10, I contributed  
22 to some of the list of courses that are published. Q. You discussed  
23 this Q. I believe I have referred to having anything to do  
24 with the selection of the subject matter for articles, not  
25 just the submitting of information. Did you have anything to  
26 do with the selection of the subject matter for articles as  
27 distinguished from providing information? Q. That subject matter  
28 at MR. HARDING: Objection, asked and answered, ten.

1 minutes ago when you first started this line of questioning.  
2

3 THE WITNESS: I know the question, but I don't know  
4 how it was worded.  
5

6 On Page (Whereupon the reporter read back the previous question.)  
7

8 THE WITNESS: A. No.  
9

10 MR. WELSH: Q. You didn't discuss with Mr. Lemass  
11 what articles ought to go in and what ones shouldn't?  
12

13 THE WITNESS: A. I didn't say that. My answer was  
14 not to what you just referred to.  
15

16 Q. Well, did you discuss with Mr. Lemass what articles  
17 should go in and what shouldn't?  
18

19 MR. HARDING: I object. He said five times he had  
20 final review authority with Mr. Lemass. I don't know how many  
21 -- I beseech you to move on. You are not even close to  
22 within the subject matter of the deposition.  
23

24 Exhibit THE WITNESS: Is there a question?  
25

26 MR. WELSH: Yes.  
27

28 infor THE WITNESS: Can you read it back?  
1 (Whereupon the reporter read back the previous question.)  
2

3 THE WITNESS: A. Sometimes we discussed. Sometimes  
4 we made a choice on our own.  
5

6 MR. WELSH: Q. Do you recall whether you discussed  
7 this article about "GM Answers Washington With Microcomputers"  
8 on Page 6?  
9

10 THE WITNESS: A. I don't recall discussing that  
11 with him.  
12

13 Q. Did you have any familiarity with that subject matter  
14 at that time?  
15

1 A. No.

2 Q. To the best of your knowledge, did the section on  
3 Education: Microcomputer Courses, Seminars, Conferences,  
4 on Pages 9 and 10 accurately reflect what conferences were to  
5 be held on the dates?

6 MR. HARDING: I object to the question on lack of  
7 foundation that this witness has any knowledge on whether those  
8 conferences took place. To the extent Mr. Welsh wants an  
9 answer, please look at each one and tell him whether you know  
10 it occurred. of any of the information in the articles in Exhibit  
11 D1?

12 THE WITNESS: A. I'd have to say no.

13 MR. WELSH: Q. Do you know whether the Intel  
14 Workshops occurred on the dates and places stated?

15 THE WITNESS: A. To my knowledge, they did.

16 Q. Does similar scheduling information appear in  
17 Exhibit D2? Every piece of information in the document came from  
18 news MR. HARDING: We'll stipulate that scheduling is or  
19 information appears. Mr. Welsh, if it's similar or not,  
20 I will leave to your judgment. Don't ask this witness to  
21 form an opinion as to whether it's similar or not to a different  
22 schedule. information for articles came strictly from news

23 related MR. WELSH: Q. Does the schedule in Exhibit D2  
24 contain similar information as to the scheduling in Exhibit D1?

25 MR. HARDING: Objection. What does similar mean?

26 MR. WELSH: Same type of information.

27 MR. HARDING: Mr. Holt, will you please tell him what  
28 it contains.

29 THE WITNESS: They both contain a list of courses,

1 microcomputer courses and seminars.

2 MR. WELSH: Q. Schedules when they occur?

3 THE WITNESS: A. When they are about to occur.

4 Q. Does each of these issues contain a section like  
5 that? A. Yes.

6 MR. HARDING: Which issues now?

7 MR. WELSH: The other exhibits, D3 through D9.

8 THE WITNESS: A. No.

9 MR. WELSH: Q. Was Intel the source, to your  
10 knowledge, of any of the information in the articles in Exhibit  
11 D1?

12 THE WITNESS: A. Absolutely not.

13 Q. Do you know where the information was obtained?

14 A. Yes.

15 Q. Where?

16 A. Every piece of information in the digest came from  
17 news releases, national magazines or national newspapers or  
18 from our own knowledge of like workshops.

19 Q. Including the Intel workshops?

20 A. In terms of course dates for the list of courses.  
21 All the information for articles came strictly from news  
22 releases, magazines or newspapers. That was the authority we  
23 gave our editor.

24 Q. Who was that?

25 A. Darrell Crow.

26 Q. This states that -- referring to D1, you have a  
27 title of Applications Technical Advisor. Was that your title?

28 A. As far as the digest was concerned, yes.

1 Q. Did that involve any duties and responsibilities?

2 A. Yes.

3 Q. Did it involve any duties with respect to applica-  
4 tions? *Can not go in or out?*

5 A. Yes.

6 Q. What were those? *participate in a similar discussi-*

7 with A. *My main duty was to review the contents of the*  
8 digest for technical accuracy and also for technical relevance.

9 Q. What do you mean technical relevance?

10 A. I mean was the technical discussion in the article  
11 relevant to microcomputers. If it was not, we would not  
12 publish it. *often.*

13 Q. Did you have any other duties as Applications  
14 Technical Advisor? *Mr. Crow separately?*

15 A. No.

16 Q. Did you have discussions with Mr. Crow as to what  
17 articles ought to be included in the digest?

18 A. That was part of our review.

19 Q. That was after the selection had been made or in  
20 connection with what would go to make up each issue?

21 A. In connection with what would go to make up each  
22 issue. *He works for a company called Zilog. They are*

23 based Q. So you had discussions with him before the issue  
24 was made up? *you know what position he has with Zilog?*

25 A. Yes.

26 Q. And did that discussion include discussions with  
27 respect to the applications that were to be included in each  
28 issue? *Do you know what City in Connecticut?*

1 A. It was a discussion in general of what was to be  
2 included, not specifically applications.  
3 You Q. You didn't discuss each article with him as to  
4 whether or not to go in or not? ~~which was among those~~  
5 April A. No. No. Training, Inc. Corporation. I can't say  
6 are Q. Did anybody else participate in a similar discussion  
7 with Mr. Crow? I've seen it before.  
8 A. Yes. ~~as you know, was that the table in and I, as~~  
9 as on Q. ~~at Who?~~ of May 29, 1974?  
0 A. Mr. Lemas.  
1 Q. Did you do it as a group of three? ~~and you can do~~  
2 no w A. Often. ~~he has any knowledge of Phil Falt's title.~~  
3 Q. Did you also confer with Mr. Crow separately? ~~Please~~  
4 A. Me with Mr. Crow separately? ~~a letter dated May 29,~~  
5 Q. Yes. ~~1974, from Mr. R. Ferrell to Mr. Phil~~  
6 A. If Mr. Lemas was off on a job, I would. ~~Falt's title. In his letter, he~~  
7 Q. Do you know where Mr. Crow is today? ~~is with this~~  
8 docu A. Yes. ~~it is?~~  
9 Q. Where? A. I saw it last night as I was  
0 coll A. ~~Massachusetts~~ information.  
1 Q. Do you know where? ~~to be?~~  
2 A. He works for a company called Zentec. They are  
3 based in Santa Clara. ~~in Santa Clara, California, and~~  
4 night Q. Do you know what position he has with Zentec?  
5 A. District Applications Specialist.  
6 Q. Do you know what city in Massachusetts? ~~that they~~  
7 letter A. No, I don't. I'm sorry, it's Connecticut. ~~are in~~  
8 with Q. Do you know what city in Connecticut? ~~in~~

1 A. No, I don't.

2 Q. I hand you another document which was among those  
3 you produced, and it appears to be a letter dated May 29,  
4 1974, four pages, from M. R. Lemas to Mr. Phil Tai, Manager  
5 Applications and Training, Intel Corporation. I ask if you  
6 are familiar with that document?

7 A. Yes, I've seen it before.

8 Q. So far as you know, was that the title of Phil Tai  
9 as of that date of May 29, 1974?

10 A. Yes.

11 MR. HARDING: I'm going to object to the question to  
12 no showing that he has any knowledge of Phil Tai's title.

13 MR. WELSH: Could you mark this as Exhibit E please?

14 A. (Whereupon a letter dated May 29,  
15 1974 from M. R. Lemas to Mr. Phil  
16 Tai, was marked Plaintiff's  
17 Exhibit Holt E for identification.)

18 MR. WELSH: Q. How are you familiar with this  
19 document, Exhibit E?

20 THE WITNESS: A. I saw it last night as I was  
21 collecting together the information.

22 Q. Had you seen it before?

23 A. No.

24 Q. How did you determine the subject matter of it last  
25 night?

26 A. I saw Mr. Tai's name on it.

27 Q. As of the date of May 29, 1974, the date of this  
28 letter or about that time, do you recall having discussions  
with Mr. Lemas about Intellic-4 and Intellic-8 systems in

1 preparation for summer workshops? 12:45 PM.

2 A. Yes.

3 Q. What do you recall about that? Do you think the

4 A. I recall that Intel wanted us to continue teaching  
5 the workshops with their new equipment. So if there was any  
6 procedural changes, that we would have to make the necessary  
7 adjustments in the courses. 12:55 PM. Do you think you and Mr.

8 Lemas Q. Were new materials prepared for use in the Intellec  
9 workshops?

10 A. Ultimately there was, yes. 1:00 PM of 1974.

11 Q. Who prepared those materials? 1:00 PM of 1974.

12 A. Mr. Lemas and myself. 1:00 PM of 1974. He has never given

13 Q. Did you prepare them, the two of you completely?

14 A. Were we the only two involved in preparing the

15 material? 1:00 PM of 1974. Part of this material was prepared while we were

16 still Q. Yes. when Mr. Norton was there, but not completed

17 when A. Mr. Norton may have helped. He was at Compata at  
18 the time. 1:00 PM of 1974.

Q. The letter contains the statement

19 "From Q. Anybody else? 1:00 PM of 1974. It is evident that we will not be

20 part A. Not to my knowledge. 1:00 PM of 1974. of materials for the Intellec

21 Q. S. This was at the time after you and Mr. Lemas had  
22 left Compata; is that correct?

23 A. What's the date? Yes.

24 Q. May 29, 1974. 1:00 PM of 1974. a document entitled Microprocessor

25 A. Oh, yes. 1:00 PM of 1974. Abilities Summary.

26 Q. Is it correct then that you and Mr. Lemas prepared  
27 the materials? 1:00 PM of 1974. if you are familiar with that document?

28 MR. HARDING: Mr. Welsh, he just answered that question.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
He didn't answer it the way you just asked.

MR. WELSH: Q. Is your answer the same; that Mr. Norton might have helped you or did help you with the preparation of the materials for the Intellec workshop?

THE WITNESS: A. Which one are you asking? There are two questions.

MR. WELSH: Q. Did Mr. Norton help you and Mr. Lemas in the preparation of materials for the Intellec workshops? Do you have an approximate date as to when it was

THE WITNESS: A. In the summer of 1974.

MR. RIFKIN: Mr. Welsh, I object to putting a document in front of him when he's testifying he has never seen it before last night, and he has not ever read it.

THE WITNESS: I'd like to clarify the confusion. I would say part of this material was prepared while we were still at Compata when Mr. Norton was there, but not completed when Manny and I left for Microcomputer Associates.

MR. WELSH: Q. The letter contains the statement "From our discussions, it is apparent that we will not be participating in the preparation of materials for the Intellec workshops." You did, however, participate; isn't that what you just said?

THE WITNESS: A. Yes. Q. I now hand you a document entitled Microcomputer Associates Inc., Capabilities Summary.

It's a one-page document with written materials on both sides. I'll ask if you are familiar with that document?

A. Yes. It refers to the Micro Computer Division, and says

1 Q. How are you familiar with it?

2 A. I helped prepare the document.

3 Q. Did you work with someone else in the preparation?

4 A. Yes.

5 Q. Who?

6 A. Mr. Lemas.

7 Q. When was the document prepared?

8 A. The exact date is difficult to say.

9 Q. Do you have an approximate date as to when it was  
10 prepared?

11 MR. HARDING: Don't speculate. If you know, give your  
12 best recollection.

13 THE WITNESS: A. I really don't know.

14 MR. WELSH: Q. Do you know the purpose for which  
15 it was prepared?

16 THE WITNESS: A. Yes.

17 Q. What was that?

18 A. To inform our potential customers that -- to let  
19 them know our capabilities and some previous work that we've  
20 participated in.

21 Q. Do you know whether it was prepared early in the  
22 existence of Microcomputer Associates?

23 MR. HARDING: As long as you know what early means,  
24 Mr. Holt, you may answer.

25 THE WITNESS: A. I'd say it was prepared within the  
26 first year.

27 MR. WELSH: Q. It refers to an Item No. 6 on Page  
28 2 under Publications to the Microcomputer Digest, and says

1 "Microcomputer Associates publishes monthly the Microcomputer  
2 Digest." How did it change?

3 At the time this was prepared, had the Microcomputer Digest  
4 already been published, at least one issue? *in any. We accelerated*  
5 *our product to build up our*

6 *product.* THE WITNESS: *in any. We accelerated* A. *product to build up our* Yes.  
7 *product.* Q. So this would have been prepared after July of 1974?

8 A. Yes. *did Microcomputer Associates begin the*

9 MR. WELSH: *product.* I'd like to ask the reporter to mark  
10 this document as Exhibit F. *product was introduced in November*

11 November of 1974

12 (Whereupon a document with the  
13 letterhead Microcomputer Associates  
14 Inc. entitled "Capabilities  
15 Summary" was marked Plaintiff's  
16 Exhibit Holt F for identification.)

17 MR. WELSH: Q. Does this accurately reflect the  
18 business that Microcomputer Associates was engaged in at the  
19 time the document was prepared?

20 MR. HARDING: I am going to object to the question as  
21 being vague; whether the question means that everything that  
22 is referred to there was the business or whether it was the  
23 capability to do that or exactly what the scope of the question  
24 is. A. *we didn't get much, if any, feedback from our*

25 *customers.* THE WITNESS: What was the question exactly?

26 MR. WELSH: *we didn't get much, if any, feedback from our* Read it back. I don't know.

27 (Whereupon the reporter read back the previous question.)  
28 THE WITNESS: A. This is an accurate summary of  
29 the business at that time.

30 MR. WELSH: Q. Does Exhibit F accurately reflect  
31 the business from that time until the time you were acquired  
32 by Syntek? *do you work as a consultant for any microprocessor*

1 app) THE WITNESS: A. No.

2 Q. How did it change?

3 A. We did not continue in the publication field. We  
4 did not continue teaching workshops and seminars. We accelerated  
5 our development of our own standard product to build up our  
6 manufacturing area.

7 Q. When did Microcomputer Associates begin the  
8 manufacture of products?

9 A. Our first hardware product was introduced in October-  
10 November of 1974.

11 Q. Was that an end user product?

12 A. No.

13 Q. OEM?

14 A. OEM.

15 Q. What was the product?

16 A. It was a set of microcomputer cards which, when put  
17 together, can be programmed to perform an application.

18 Q. What type of applications were the products of  
19 Microcomputer Associates used in?

20 A. We didn't get much, if any, feedback from our  
21 customers. It was usually proprietary information. We were  
22 selling products mail order. We just don't know.

23 Q. You don't know what applications your products were  
24 used in?

25 A. Not specifically.

26 Q. Generally?

27 A. Microprocessor control generally.

28 Q. Did you work as a consultant for any microprocessor

1 applications other than Ramtek?  
2

3 A. Yes.

4 Q. And what were those?

5 MR. HARDING: Is there any time frame, Mr. Welsh?  
6 What's the reasonable time frame that pertains to the Tai  
7 affidavit?  
8

9 MR. WELSH: 1973-1974 time frame.

10 MR. HARDING: Is this a memory test, or do you want  
11 him to go over and look at the documents he brought?

12 MR. WELSH: What he recalls.

13 MR. HARDING: Mr. Katz is pointing to something that  
14 might be helpful.

15 MR. WELSH: I'd like to have his best recollection  
16 first.

17 THE WITNESS: I would say the one that's the most  
18 glaring in my mind is the one listed here, the training film  
19 controller.

20 MR. WELSH: Q. Any others come to mind?

21 THE WITNESS: A. Not in the '73-'74 time frame.

22 Q. I hand you now what are two pages that appear to be  
23 related which were produced with the documents on last Tuesday,  
24 and ask if those are familiar to you?

25 A. Yes.

26 Q. What do you recognize them to be?

27 A. This is a list of the jobs for Microcomputer  
28 Associates.

Q. Could you explain how that was used?

A. When the time came for us to bill the customer for

1 a particular job, then our secretary would give it a job number,  
2 and it was recorded on a sheet.

3 Q. So a number was assigned to a job at the time it was  
4 to be billed?

5 A. Generally, yes.

6 Q. By referring to this two-page document, is your  
7 recollection refreshed as to any other applications for  
8 microprocessors on which you might have worked as a consultant  
9 in 1973-74?

10 A. Yes.

11 Q. How is it refreshed?

12 A. Job 121 for Zentec. We designed a printer controller  
13 using a microprocessor. That's the only other one I see.

14 Q. Did you do any consulting work with respect to  
15 microcomputer applications individually as distinguished from  
16 your work with Microcomputer Associates during the '73-'74  
17 period?

18 A. Can you say that again?

19 (Whereupon the reporter read back the previous question.)

20 THE WITNESS: A. Are you referring to work that I  
21 would have billed separately from the company?

22 MR. WELSH: Q. Yes.

23 THE WITNESS: A. No.

24 MR. WELSH: Could you mark these as G1 and G2 please.

25 (Whereupon two documents both en-  
26 titled "Contracts" were marked  
27 Plaintiff's Exhibit Holt G1 and  
Holt G2 respectively for  
identification.)

28 MR. WELSH: Q. Do you know whether these lists

1 include all of the jobs that there were for Microcomputer  
2 Associates? *Can you discuss it with anyone else at Intel?*

3 THE WITNESS: A. Yes, they do.

4 Q. And does that extend up to the time when Micro-  
5 computer Associates was acquired by Synertek?

6 MR. HARDING: Object to the question, lack of founda-  
7 tion that he has any knowledge or recollection. *Object to this*

8 THE WITNESS: A. It does not include the last job,  
9 which is a job with Synertek. *Do you recall having any discussions*

10 with MR. WELSH: Q. To your knowledge, it includes  
11 all other jobs? A. No, I don't.

12 THE WITNESS: A. To the best of my knowledge, yes.

13 Q. And the controller you designed for Zentec, what  
14 microprocessor? *such as turning on a light?*

15 A. 8008. I have no need to refer to him for that.

16 Q. Did you discuss that application with anyone at  
17 Intel? *ask for any problems?*

18 A. No. That was the designer of the first set of

19 cards. Q. Then you did not discuss it with Dr. Tai? *those cards*

20 A. Correct. *that Intel delivered to the customers who*

21 Q. What microprocessor did the training film controller  
22 use? *solutions?*

23 A. According to the description I read on Exhibit F --

24 MR. HARDING: *I instruct the witness to answer*

25 according to knowledge. *the only one to ask has there been some*

26 THE WITNESS: *you have 4004. *ask at the conferences who raise**

27 MR. WELSH: *ask at the conferences who raise* Q. Did you discuss that application

28 with Dr. Tai? *yes.*

1 THE WITNESS:

2 A. No.

3 Q. Did you discuss it with anyone else at Intel?

4 A. No.

5 MR. HARDING: Mr. Holt, how are you holding up as far  
6 as your cold and health goes?

7 THE WITNESS: I feel hot.

8 MR. HARDING: Mr. Welsh, can we please expedite this  
9 thing?

10 MR. WELSH: Q. Do you recall having any discussions  
11 with Dr. Tai regarding how microprocessors work?

12 THE WITNESS: A. No, I don't.

13 Q. Do you recall having any discussions with him in the  
14 '73-'74 time period regarding how to use the microprocessor for  
15 a particular job such as turning on a light?

16 A. No. I have no need to refer to him for that.

17 Q. Did you have any discussion with him about micro-  
18 processors for any problems?

19 A. Mr. Tai was the designer of the first set of  
20 cards that we used in the course. In learning how those cards  
21 work, if the manual that Intel delivered to the customers was  
22 not clear, then Mr. Tai would be the one to answer those  
23 questions.

24 Q. Did you present those questions to him?

25 A. I don't specifically remember asking him any,  
26 but he would have been the only one to ask had there been some.

27 Q. Did you have attendees at the conferences who raised  
28 questions about the manual?

A. Oh, yes.

1 Q. Did you consult with Dr. Tai about those questions?  
2 A. Mr. Lemas did mostly.

3 Q. When you say first set of cards, what do you mean  
4 by cards?  
5

6 A. The printed circuitboard with the microcomputer on  
it.

7 Q. What was the purpose of the set of cards that Mr. Tai  
8 designed?

9 A. To demonstrate the capabilities of the circuits that  
10 Intel produced.

11 Q. Was a set designed, so far as you know, just for  
12 use in the course?

13 A. No.

14 Q. Did the cards have any name other than cards?

15 A. Sometimes they were referred to as boards, PC  
16 boards.

17 Q. What microprocessor was used in those boards?

18 A. 4004 and 8008.

19 Q. When you say the purpose of the cards or boards was  
20 to demonstrate capabilities of the microprocessor, to whom were  
21 they to be demonstrated if you know?

22 A. To the customers of Intel.

23 Q. And they were also used in the conduct of the course?

24 A. Yes.

25 Q. When you and Mr. Lemas prepared your initial  
26 materials for the course, did you consult with Mr. Tai then  
27 about the cards and how the microprocessors worked?

28 A. I did not.

1  
2 Q. You had no discussion with Dr. Tai at that time?

3 A. I did not. Mr. Lemas may have, but I did not.

4 Q. Did Intel have a name for the cards? ~~not with you?~~

5 A. For the card set, they had a name.

6 Q. What was that? ~~or what you told him?~~

7 A. MCS-4 and MCS-8.

8 Q. In your testimony, you mentioned an advertisement  
Intel has where credit machines was listed.<sup>17</sup> Do you recall that?

9 A. Yes, I had called Mr. Hardin and ~~asked him~~ of the

10 CONC Q. ~~sat~~ I show you a copy of what was marked as Hum <sup>18</sup> Deposition Exhibit 2, and ask if that appears to you to be a  
11 copy of the ad <sup>19</sup> that you referred to? ~~he said that before we~~

12 A. <sup>20</sup> This looks like one of a series of ads that they  
13 came out with. ~~it did Mr. Hardin tell you?~~

14 Q. You do not know whether that's the ad that you  
15 referred to with respect to that application of the credit ~~21~~ machines? ~~Mr. Wilson, so I can see whether it gets into attorney-~~

16 A. ~~22~~ Not specifically.

17 Q. Do you know the source of the information regarding  
18 those various applications listed in that ad? ~~23~~ <sup>24</sup> ~~question in~~

19 A. ~~25~~ No, it's very privileged information. <sup>26</sup> ~~Mr. Katz.~~

20 Q. Do you know whether Intel in the '73-'74 time period  
21 had any list or file of applications for microprocessors?

22 A. ~~27~~ Not to my knowledge. <sup>28</sup> ~~had to tell you to meet with Mr.~~

23 Q. Do you remember any discussion with Mr. Katz over  
24 the telephone about two and a half to three months ago? <sup>29</sup> ~~say~~

25 A. ~~30~~ We did talk around that time. <sup>31</sup> ~~about~~

26 Q. Do you recall discussing with Mr. Katz an application

1 list or file at Intel? *Leave S.A.*

2 A. No, you recall discussing this with S.A. that's been  
3 *Leave S.A.*

4 Q. Do you remember Mr. Katz asking to meet with you?

5 A. Yes. *Same as I referred to earlier? I do not recall*

6 *Leave Q. going* Do you remember what you told him?

7 A. Generally yes. *Leave what you said about that to Mr.*

8 *Katz* Q. What did you tell him?

9 A. The essence was that I had him call back later. *Leave*

10 In the meantime, I had called Mr. Harding and told him of the  
11 conversation with Mr. Katz. *Leave* Because of the relationship that  
12 I had with Mr. Harding, I didn't understand the legal ramifications.  
13 So when Mr. Katz called back, he said that before we get together to talk to Mr. Harding.

14 Q. What did Mr. Harding tell you?

15 A. He told me that --

16 MR. HARDING: *Leave* Do you have any particular subject matter  
17 in mind, Mr. Welsh, so I can see whether it gets into attorney-  
18 client privilege? *Leave* *is*

19 MR. WELSH: *Leave* About meeting with Mr. Katz. *Leave*

20 MR. HARDING: *Leave* Go ahead and answer the question in  
21 limited fashion of how I told you to deal with Mr. Katz.

22 THE WITNESS: *Leave* To my knowledge, Mr. Harding said that  
23 if Mr. Katz met with me, that he'd like to be there. *Leave*

24 MR. WELSH: *Leave* Q. *Leave* Did he tell you to meet with Mr.  
25 Katz? *Leave* *Leave* *Leave* *Leave* *Leave*

26 THE WITNESS: *Leave* A. *Leave* Did he just come out and say  
27 "Don't meet with him"? *Leave* *Leave* *Leave* *Leave* *Leave*

28 Q. Yes.

1 A. I don't believe so. *Answers about their customer*  
2 Q. *Do you recall discussing this Intel ad that's been*  
3 *logged Hum Exhibit 2 with Mr. Katz?*

4 A. The same ad I referred to earlier? I do recall *mentioning*  
5 *that to Mr. Katz.* *as nothing of a memorandum.*

6 Q. Do you remember what you said about that to Mr. *Katz?* *you*  
7 *for giving the names of those staff members?*

8 A. What I do remember is that I indicated to him that  
9 the article had a list of applications which most likely was  
10 generated by one of the staff at Intel. That's the extent of  
11 my knowledge of the ad.

12 Q. Did you have any particular member of the staff at  
13 Intel in mind?

14 A. Yes. *You ever see the affidavit of Dr. Tai.* *dated*  
15 *August 17, Who?*

16 A. Mr. Hal Feeney and Mr. Hank Smith.

17 Q. Are they both still employed at Intel?

18 A. Mr. Hal Feeney is.

19 Q. Do you recall any discussion about Dr. Tai during  
20 the conversation with Mr. Katz? *an expert by defendants?*

21 A. Yes. *What I picked up during my first deposition,*

22 Q. What do you remember in that regard?

23 A. I remember telling Mr. Katz the names of some of the  
24 staff people which would have included Mr. Tai.

25 Q. Some of the staff people with respect to what?

26 A. At Intel in their microcomputer group.

27 Q. Well, in what connection did you mention those  
28 staff people? *What if I or I damaged really had*

1  
2 A. Ones who would have knowledge about their customer  
3 applications.  
4

5 Q. At any particular time period?  
6

7 A. As I remember, our conversation was centered around  
8 this advertisement, over this series of advertisements.  
9

10 Q. Do you recall what question Mr. Katz asked that you  
11 answered by giving the names of those staff members?  
12

13 A. No.  
14

15 Q. In your work as an expert for defendants, are you  
16 being compensated?  
17

18 A. Yes.  
19

20 Q. Have you already been paid any fees by defendants?  
21

22 A. Yes.  
23

24 Q. Did you ever see the affidavit of Dr. Tai, dated  
25 August 1st, 1980?  
26

27 A. No.  
28

Q. Did you ever see a copy of it?  
A. No.  
Q. Did you have any understanding of what this lawsuit  
is before you were retained as an expert by defendants?  
A. Only what I picked up during my last deposition.  
Q. What was that?  
A. You asked me what my general knowledge is of the law  
suit.  
MR. HARDING: As it was when he picked it up from the  
last deposition?  
MR. WELSH: Yes.  
THE WITNESS: Okay. I knew or I gathered Bally had

1 a patent that was being challenged. Generally that was what  
2 I gathered, and that there were other involvements of other  
3 companies around that time frame such as Ramtek and Atari.

4 MR. WELSH: Q. Were you informed as to why you  
5 were called as a witness? *...I you about that?*

6 MR. RIFKIN: Called as a witness, when and by whom?

7 MR. WELSH: *...you may* In the prior deposition. It has to do  
8 with his credibility. *Meet with Lee Mitchell, the author*  
9 *for* *...for* *...for* *...for*

10 MR. HARDING: That is too far abreast. That question  
11 was probably answered or asked and answered at a deposition  
12 in a timely fashion. That has nothing to do with the Tai *...by*  
13 affidavit whatsoever. *...We're going over and rehashing general*  
14 *...discovery, and discovery, sir, is closed.*

15 MR. WELSH: *...close* Q. Even after your previous deposi-  
16 tion and clear up to the time when you were retained as an  
17 expert by the defendants, I would like to know if you had any  
18 understanding of the significance of your testimony in the  
19 lawsuit. *...No.*

20 THE WITNESS: A. *...Significance of my testimony?*

21 Q. *...Yes.*

22 MR. RIFKIN: *...an ex* I object to the question as vague and  
23 ambiguous. *...I wrote retained.*

24 THE WITNESS: A. *I'd say no.*

25 MR. WELSH: Q. *...Did anyone inform you of the*  
26 *significance of your testimony?* *...as can be out.*

27 THE WITNESS: A. *No.* *...to be repeated.*

28 Q. *...Did anyone inform you why it was relevant to the*  
29 *lawsuit?* *...for the*

1 A. At the time of the testimony?

2 Q. At any time prior to the time you were retained by  
3 defendants as an expert.

4 A. No.

5 Q. Nobody ever informed you about that?

6 A. No.

7 Q. After you gave your deposition before, did you ever  
8 have any discussion or meet with Lee Mitchell, the attorney  
9 for Gottlieb?

0 A. Only before the deposition.

1 Q. Did you have any discussions or meetings with any  
2 other attorneys for the defendants after your deposition?

3 A. Yes.

4 Q. And before you were retained as an expert and after  
5 the deposition.

6 A. And before I was retained?

7 Q. Yes.

8 A. No.

9 Q. Have you had any conversations with counsel for  
0 defendants regarding any facts which occurred prior to your  
1 being retained as an expert, and that's conversations before  
2 or after you were retained.

3 MR. RIFKIN: I object to the question as indefinite  
4 and vague, but I instruct the witness if he understands it,  
5 and only if he understands it, he can answer.

6 THE WITNESS: I'd like to have it repeated.

7 (Whereupon the reporter read back the previous question.)

8 THE WITNESS: A. Yes.

MR. HARDING: I am going to object to the use of the term facts. This supposedly is a factual deposition, yet by whose definition, I don't know. So I'm going to object to Mr. Welsh's question as to what's a fact.

MR. WELSH: Q. When did you have such conversations?

THE WITNESS: A. Approximately April, May of this year.

Q. With whom did you have the conversations?

A. Mr. Harding.

Q. Where were they held?

A. By telephone?

Q. Were there more than one conversation?

A. I believe so, yes.

Q. How many were there?

A. I don't recall the exact number.

Q. And approximately what length were they?

A. Length of the conversation?

Q. Yes.

A. Five to ten minutes.

Q. Could you give us the substance of those conversations?

MR. HARDING: Now, Mr. Welsh, I am going to instruct the witness not to answer broad-based questions concerning communications between Mr. Holt and any of the attorneys who have retained him on an expert basis. You have broad latitude on inquiring as to factual matters. And any materials, any matters that were discussed pertinent to this deposition you have gone into. But as general communications between me and

1 Mr. Holt, that communication I'm not going to allow testimony.  
2

3 MR. WELSH: Are you instructing him not to answer?  
4

5 MR. HARDING: Yes.  
6

7 MR. WELSH: Q. Do you refuse to answer?  
8

9 MR. HARDING: I will allow you to ask any factual  
0 question you want concerning this deposition or matters  
1 discussed in this deposition. But a broad-based inquiry into  
2 what I did with this witness after we retained him, I consider  
3 that work product. You are free to ask whatever questions you  
4 want and facts.  
5

6 MR. WELSH: Q. Do you refuse to answer the  
7 question?  
8

9 THE WITNESS: A. Yes, on advice of Mr. Harding.  
0

1 Q. Would you give us the substance of those conversa-  
2 tions insofar as they concern factual occurrences that you are  
3 aware of prior to the time you were retained as an expert,  
4 and occurrences which relate to this case?  
5

6 MR. RIFKIN: I object to the question as being com-  
7 pound, Mr. Welsh.  
8

9 MR. HARDING: And that's a different question, sir,  
0 than you posed the last time.  
1

2 MR. WELSH: I realize that.  
3

4 MR. HARDING: Do you understand the question, Mr.  
5

6 Holt?  
7

8 THE WITNESS: Not exactly. It seems kind of vague  
9 or general.  
0

1 MR. WELSH: Q. Did it seem vague before Mr.  
2 Harding asked you whether you understood it?  
3

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65  
66  
67  
68  
69  
70  
71  
72  
73  
74  
75  
76  
77  
78  
79  
80  
81  
82  
83  
84  
85  
86  
87  
88  
89  
90  
91  
92  
93  
94  
95  
96  
97  
98  
99  
100  
101  
102  
103  
104  
105  
106  
107  
108  
109  
110  
111  
112  
113  
114  
115  
116  
117  
118  
119  
120  
121  
122  
123  
124  
125  
126  
127  
128  
129  
130  
131  
132  
133  
134  
135  
136  
137  
138  
139  
140  
141  
142  
143  
144  
145  
146  
147  
148  
149  
150  
151  
152  
153  
154  
155  
156  
157  
158  
159  
160  
161  
162  
163  
164  
165  
166  
167  
168  
169  
170  
171  
172  
173  
174  
175  
176  
177  
178  
179  
180  
181  
182  
183  
184  
185  
186  
187  
188  
189  
190  
191  
192  
193  
194  
195  
196  
197  
198  
199  
200  
201  
202  
203  
204  
205  
206  
207  
208  
209  
210  
211  
212  
213  
214  
215  
216  
217  
218  
219  
220  
221  
222  
223  
224  
225  
226  
227  
228  
229  
230  
231  
232  
233  
234  
235  
236  
237  
238  
239  
240  
241  
242  
243  
244  
245  
246  
247  
248  
249  
250  
251  
252  
253  
254  
255  
256  
257  
258  
259  
260  
261  
262  
263  
264  
265  
266  
267  
268  
269  
270  
271  
272  
273  
274  
275  
276  
277  
278  
279  
280  
281  
282  
283  
284  
285  
286  
287  
288  
289  
290  
291  
292  
293  
294  
295  
296  
297  
298  
299  
300  
301  
302  
303  
304  
305  
306  
307  
308  
309  
310  
311  
312  
313  
314  
315  
316  
317  
318  
319  
320  
321  
322  
323  
324  
325  
326  
327  
328  
329  
330  
331  
332  
333  
334  
335  
336  
337  
338  
339  
340  
341  
342  
343  
344  
345  
346  
347  
348  
349  
350  
351  
352  
353  
354  
355  
356  
357  
358  
359  
360  
361  
362  
363  
364  
365  
366  
367  
368  
369  
370  
371  
372  
373  
374  
375  
376  
377  
378  
379  
380  
381  
382  
383  
384  
385  
386  
387  
388  
389  
390  
391  
392  
393  
394  
395  
396  
397  
398  
399  
400  
401  
402  
403  
404  
405  
406  
407  
408  
409  
410  
411  
412  
413  
414  
415  
416  
417  
418  
419  
420  
421  
422  
423  
424  
425  
426  
427  
428  
429  
430  
431  
432  
433  
434  
435  
436  
437  
438  
439  
440  
441  
442  
443  
444  
445  
446  
447  
448  
449  
450  
451  
452  
453  
454  
455  
456  
457  
458  
459  
460  
461  
462  
463  
464  
465  
466  
467  
468  
469  
470  
471  
472  
473  
474  
475  
476  
477  
478  
479  
480  
481  
482  
483  
484  
485  
486  
487  
488  
489  
490  
491  
492  
493  
494  
495  
496  
497  
498  
499  
500  
501  
502  
503  
504  
505  
506  
507  
508  
509  
510  
511  
512  
513  
514  
515  
516  
517  
518  
519  
520  
521  
522  
523  
524  
525  
526  
527  
528  
529  
530  
531  
532  
533  
534  
535  
536  
537  
538  
539  
540  
541  
542  
543  
544  
545  
546  
547  
548  
549  
550  
551  
552  
553  
554  
555  
556  
557  
558  
559  
559  
560  
561  
562  
563  
564  
565  
566  
567  
568  
569  
569  
570  
571  
572  
573  
574  
575  
576  
577  
578  
579  
579  
580  
581  
582  
583  
584  
585  
586  
587  
588  
589  
589  
590  
591  
592  
593  
594  
595  
596  
597  
598  
599  
600  
601  
602  
603  
604  
605  
606  
607  
608  
609  
609  
610  
611  
612  
613  
614  
615  
616  
617  
618  
619  
619  
620  
621  
622  
623  
624  
625  
626  
627  
628  
629  
629  
630  
631  
632  
633  
634  
635  
636  
637  
638  
639  
639  
640  
641  
642  
643  
644  
645  
646  
647  
648  
649  
649  
650  
651  
652  
653  
654  
655  
656  
657  
658  
659  
659  
660  
661  
662  
663  
664  
665  
666  
667  
668  
669  
669  
670  
671  
672  
673  
674  
675  
676  
677  
678  
679  
679  
680  
681  
682  
683  
684  
685  
686  
687  
688  
689  
689  
690  
691  
692  
693  
694  
695  
696  
697  
698  
699  
700  
701  
702  
703  
704  
705  
706  
707  
708  
709  
709  
710  
711  
712  
713  
714  
715  
716  
717  
718  
719  
719  
720  
721  
722  
723  
724  
725  
726  
727  
728  
729  
729  
730  
731  
732  
733  
734  
735  
736  
737  
738  
739  
739  
740  
741  
742  
743  
744  
745  
746  
747  
748  
749  
749  
750  
751  
752  
753  
754  
755  
756  
757  
758  
759  
759  
760  
761  
762  
763  
764  
765  
766  
767  
768  
769  
769  
770  
771  
772  
773  
774  
775  
776  
777  
778  
779  
779  
780  
781  
782  
783  
784  
785  
786  
787  
788  
789  
789  
790  
791  
792  
793  
794  
795  
796  
797  
798  
799  
800  
801  
802  
803  
804  
805  
806  
807  
808  
809  
809  
810  
811  
812  
813  
814  
815  
816  
817  
818  
819  
819  
820  
821  
822  
823  
824  
825  
826  
827  
828  
829  
829  
830  
831  
832  
833  
834  
835  
836  
837  
838  
839  
839  
840  
841  
842  
843  
844  
845  
846  
847  
848  
849  
849  
850  
851  
852  
853  
854  
855  
856  
857  
858  
859  
859  
860  
861  
862  
863  
864  
865  
866  
867  
868  
869  
869  
870  
871  
872  
873  
874  
875  
876  
877  
878  
879  
879  
880  
881  
882  
883  
884  
885  
886  
887  
888  
889  
889  
890  
891  
892  
893  
894  
895  
896  
897  
898  
899  
900  
901  
902  
903  
904  
905  
906  
907  
908  
909  
909  
910  
911  
912  
913  
914  
915  
916  
917  
918  
919  
919  
920  
921  
922  
923  
924  
925  
926  
927  
928  
929  
929  
930  
931  
932  
933  
934  
935  
936  
937  
938  
939  
939  
940  
941  
942  
943  
944  
945  
946  
947  
948  
949  
949  
950  
951  
952  
953  
954  
955  
956  
957  
958  
959  
959  
960  
961  
962  
963  
964  
965  
966  
967  
968  
969  
969  
970  
971  
972  
973  
974  
975  
976  
977  
978  
979  
979  
980  
981  
982  
983  
984  
985  
986  
987  
988  
989  
989  
990  
991  
992  
993  
994  
995  
996  
997  
998  
999  
1000  
1001  
1002  
1003  
1004  
1005  
1006  
1007  
1008  
1009  
1009  
10010  
10011  
10012  
10013  
10014  
10015  
10016  
10017  
10018  
10019  
10020  
10021  
10022  
10023  
10024  
10025  
10026  
10027  
10028  
10029  
10029  
10030  
10031  
10032  
10033  
10034  
10035  
10036  
10037  
10038  
10039  
10039  
10040  
10041  
10042  
10043  
10044  
10045  
10046  
10047  
10048  
10049  
10049  
10050  
10051  
10052  
10053  
10054  
10055  
10056  
10057  
10058  
10059  
10059  
10060  
10061  
10062  
10063  
10064  
10065  
10066  
10067  
10068  
10069  
10069  
10070  
10071  
10072  
10073  
10074  
10075  
10076  
10077  
10078  
10079  
10079  
10080  
10081  
10082  
10083  
10084  
10085  
10086  
10087  
10088  
10089  
10089  
10090  
10091  
10092  
10093  
10094  
10095  
10096  
10097  
10098  
10099  
10099  
100100  
100101  
100102  
100103  
100104  
100105  
100106  
100107  
100108  
100109  
100110  
100111  
100112  
100113  
100114  
100115  
100116  
100117  
100118  
100119  
100119  
100120  
100121  
100122  
100123  
100124  
100125  
100126  
100127  
100128  
100129  
100129  
100130  
100131  
100132  
100133  
100134  
100135  
100136  
100137  
100138  
100139  
100139  
100140  
100141  
100142  
100143  
100144  
100145  
100146  
100147  
100148  
100149  
100149  
100150  
100151  
100152  
100153  
100154  
100155  
100156  
100157  
100158  
100159  
100159  
100160  
100161  
100162  
100163  
100164  
100165  
100166  
100167  
100168  
100169  
100169  
100170  
100171  
100172  
100173  
100174  
100175  
100176  
100177  
100178  
100179  
100179  
100180  
100181  
100182  
100183  
100184  
100185  
100186  
100187  
100188  
100189  
100189  
100190  
100191  
100192  
100193  
100194  
100195  
100196  
100197  
100198  
100199  
100199  
100200  
100201  
100202  
100203  
100204  
100205  
100206  
100207  
100208  
100209  
100209  
100210  
100211  
100212  
100213  
100214  
100215  
100216  
100217  
100218  
100219  
100219  
100220  
100221  
100222  
100223  
100224  
100225  
100226  
100227  
100228  
100229  
100229  
100230  
100231  
100232  
100233  
100234  
100235  
100236  
100237  
100238  
100239  
100239  
100240  
100241  
100242  
100243  
100244  
100245  
100246  
100247  
100248  
100249  
100249  
100250  
100251  
100252  
100253  
100254  
100255  
100256  
100257  
100258  
100259  
100259  
100260  
100261  
100262  
100263  
100264  
100265  
100266  
100267  
100268  
100269  
100269  
100270  
100271  
100272  
100273  
100274  
100275  
100276  
100277  
100278  
100279  
100279  
100280  
100281  
100282  
100283  
100284  
100285  
100286  
100287  
100288  
100289  
100289  
100290  
100291  
100292  
100293  
100294  
100295  
100296  
100297  
100298  
100299  
100299  
100300  
100301  
100302  
100303  
100304  
100305  
100306  
100307  
100308  
100309  
100309  
100310  
100311  
100312  
100313  
100314  
100315  
100316  
100317  
100318  
100319  
100319  
100320  
100321  
100322  
100323  
100324  
100325  
100326  
100327  
100328  
100329  
100329  
100330  
100331  
100332  
100333  
100334  
100335  
100336  
100337  
100338  
100339  
100339  
100340  
100341  
100342  
100343  
100344  
100345  
100346  
100347  
100348  
100349  
100349  
100350  
100351  
100352  
100353  
100354  
100355  
100356  
100357  
100358  
100359  
100359  
100360  
100361  
100362  
100363  
100364  
100365  
100366  
100367  
100368  
100369  
100369  
100370  
100371  
100372  
100373  
100374  
100375  
100376  
100377  
100378  
100379  
100379  
100380  
100381  
100382  
100383  
100384  
100385  
100386  
100387  
100388  
100389  
100389  
100390  
100391  
100392  
100393  
100394  
100395  
100396  
100397  
100398  
100399  
100399  
100400  
100401  
100402  
100403  
100404  
100405  
100406  
100407  
100408  
100409  
100409  
100410  
100411  
100412  
100413  
100414  
100415  
100416  
100417  
100418  
100419  
100419  
100420  
100421  
100422  
100423  
100424  
100425  
100426  
100427  
100428  
100429  
100429  
100430  
100431  
100432  
100433  
100434  
100435  
100436  
100437  
100438  
100439  
100439  
100440  
100441  
100442  
100443  
100444  
100445  
100446  
100447  
100448  
100449  
100449  
100450  
100451  
100452  
100453  
100454  
100455  
100456  
100457  
100458  
100459  
100459  
100460  
100461  
100462  
100463  
100464  
100465  
100466  
100467  
100468  
100469  
100469  
100470  
100471  
100472  
100473  
100474  
100475  
100476  
100477  
100478  
100479  
100479  
100480  
100481  
100482  
100483  
100484  
100485  
100486  
100487  
100488  
100489  
100489  
100490  
100491  
100492  
100493  
100494  
100495  
100496  
100497  
100498  
100499  
100499  
100500  
100501  
100502  
100503  
100504  
100505  
100506  
100507  
100508  
100509  
100509  
100510  
100511  
100512  
100513  
100514  
100515  
100516  
100517  
100518  
100519  
100519  
100520  
100521  
100522  
100523  
100524  
100525  
100526  
100527  
100528  
100529  
100529  
100530  
100531  
100532  
100533  
100534  
100535  
100536  
100537  
100538  
100539  
100539  
100540  
100541  
100542  
100543  
100544  
100545  
100546  
100547  
100548  
100549  
100549  
100550  
100551  
100552  
100553  
100554  
100555  
100556  
100557  
100558  
100559  
100559  
100560  
100561  
100562  
100563  
100564  
100565  
100566  
100567  
100568  
100569  
100569  
100570  
100571  
100572  
100573  
100574  
100575  
100576  
100577  
100578  
100579  
100579  
100580  
100581  
100582  
100583  
100584  
100585  
100586  
100587  
100588  
100589  
100589  
100590  
100591  
100592  
100593  
100594  
100595  
100596  
100597  
100598  
100599  
100599  
100600  
100601  
100602  
100603  
100604  
100605  
100606  
100607  
100608  
100609  
100609  
100610  
100611  
100612  
100613  
100614  
100615  
100616  
100617  
100618  
100619  
100619  
100620  
100621  
100622  
100623  
100624  
100625  
100626  
100627  
100628  
100629  
100629  
100630  
100631  
100632  
100633  
100634  
100635  
100636  
100637  
100638  
100639  
100639  
100640  
100641  
100642  
100643  
100644  
100645  
100646  
100647  
100648  
100649  
100649  
100650  
100651  
100652  
100653  
100654  
100655  
100656  
100657  
100658  
100659  
100659  
100660  
100661  
100662  
100663  
100664  
100665  
100666  
100667  
100668  
100669  
100669  
100670  
100671  
100672  
100673  
100674  
100675  
100676  
100677  
100678  
100679  
100679  
100680  
100681  
100682  
100683  
100684  
100685  
100686  
100687  
100688  
100689  
100689  
100690  
100691  
100692  
100693  
100694  
100695  
100696  
100697  
100698  
100699  
100699  
100700  
100701  
100702  
100703  
100704  
10070

THE WITNESS: A. Apparently I don't understand what's considered factual.

Q. Did you discuss with him your knowledge of work by others on pinball applications for microprocessors?

Steve A. My knowledge of work by others?

MR. HARDING: This is in what time frame, Mr. Welsh?

MR. WELSH: 1973-1974.

THE WITNESS: The A. I don't recall.

Q. Did you discuss with him your

knowledge of any applications of microprocessors to pinballs in the year 1975?

THE WITNESS: A. The same answer as before; I don't recall.

Q. Did you discuss with him your acquaintance with any personnel of Atari Corporation working on microprocessors in the 1973 to 1975 time frame?

Answer A. At the same time, what is 1973 from time to time?

Q. Did you discuss with him your acquaintance with any Atari personnel during that period?

A. Note: Please contact our office at Mississippi

Q. Are you familiar with Cyan Engineering in Grass Valley?

A. Yes.

Q. Are you aware that they were a part of Atari at that time?

A. Yes. I hope I can help you. That you have seen

Q. So when I ask about Atari, do you include Cyan in your answer?

A. I wasn't thinking about them, but if you were to ask it again, the answer would be the same.

Q. In your telephone conversation with Mr. Katz in July, do you recall telling him about your acquaintance with Steve Mayer?

A. Yes.

Q. Do you recall telling him that you recall Steve Mayer being one of the people attending the Intel workshop which you and Mr. Lemas gave in December of 1973?

A. I didn't tell him the exact date. I did tell him that Steve attended the workshop.

Q. You don't recall telling him what date it was?

A. No.

Q. Do you recall what the workshop he attended was?

A. No, I don't.

Q. Do you recall telling him that you have seen Steve Mayer since that time, that is 1973 from time to time?

A. Yes.

Q. What did you tell him in that regard?

A. That Steve came into our office at Microcomputer Associates to talk to Manny about an application, and my memory is shaking hands with him and chatting with him a little bit.

Q. When was that?

A. It must have been in '75.

Q. Do you recall telling Mr. Katz that you have seen Steve Mayer from time to time on the order of once a year?

A. No.

MR. RIFKIN: I object to the question as leading, unnecessarily so.

MR. WELSH: Q. Did you discuss with Mr. Harding in your conversations in April or May whether you attended trade shows?

THE WITNESS: A. Yes.

Q. What did you discuss with him in that regard?

A. I'm not sure what vein it was in. We were talking about something, and I mentioned trade shows. I don't know if it was with respect to us teaching seminars at trade shows or if we had an exhibit at one.

Q. Did you discuss the MOA Show in Chicago in 1974?

A. No, never heard of it.

Q. Never heard of AMOA?

A. No.

Q. Do you recall telling Mr. Katz that you had met with Steve Mayer at trade shows?

A. No, definitely not.

Q. Do you attend trade shows?

A. Yes.

Q. Did you attend trade shows back in the period 1973 to 1975?

A. Yes.

What trade shows did you attend?

Westcon.

A. Any others?

Q. *Any* -- that time period, that's the --

A. In that time period, that's the only one I went to. do you recall telling Mr. Katz in the

Q. Do you recall seeing Mr. Katz in the July ~~anniversary~~?

conversation with him about seeing Steve Mayer at any conferences?

A. As opposed to trade shows?

Q. Yes.

A. No, I don't recall.

Q. Did you discuss with him the Asilomar conference in 1975?

A. Not to my knowledge.

Q. Did you discuss that conference with Mr. Harding?

A. Not to my knowledge.

Q. Did you attend that conference?

A. I attended one Asilomar conference. That is approximately the same time frame?

Q. Spring of 1975.

A. Yes.

Q. Do you recall whether there was any discussion at that conference about microprocessor control of pinball machines?

A. I heard no discussion at all on that subject.

Q. At that conference?

A. Right.

Q. Are you acquainted with Gamond Schulz?

A. Yes, I knew him.

Q. Are you aware as to whether Gamond Schulz gave a paper at that conference at Asilomar in the spring of 1975?

MR. HARDING: ask Mr. Welsh, does this pertain to the

Tai affidavit?

MR. WELSH:

It pertains to this witness' credibility.

MR. HARDING: Credibility, I know. Everything pertains to credibility.

THE WITNESS: Gamond gave a paper at the conference the following year after I attended.

MR. WELSH: Q. What year did you attend?

THE WITNESS: A. I said I thought it was approximately the '75 time frame. It might have been '74. It might have been '76. I've only been to one.

Q. Do you remember whether Steve Mayer was there or not?

A. I don't recall meeting Steve at the Asilomar conference.

MR. HARDING: Mr. Welsh, we're getting very close to being absolutely out of line. I think we've passed the line.

MR. WELSH: Q. Have you talked to any persons at Intel concerning the facts or documents relating to this case?

THE WITNESS: A. Yes.

Q. When did you do that?

A. Approximately three or four months ago.

Q. What did you ask them in that regard?

A. I asked them for pricing information around the time frame '71, '72, '73 for MCS-4 chips.

Q. Who did you talk to?

A. Mr. Hal Feeney.

Q. Did you ask for any documents relating to the courses, the workshops that you and Mr. Lemas gave?

A. No.

Q. Did you ask for any other documents relating to any other subjects?

A. No.

Q. Did you discuss anything else with Feeney?

A. No.

Q. Or did you have any conversations with anyone else at Intel about this case or facts relating to it?

A. No.

Q. Did you discuss with Mr. Lemas anything about his deposition after he gave it last Tuesday?

A. Yes.

Q. What was the substance of your discussion?

A. He said that you guys yelled a lot. He said that was a waste of time.

Q. Did he discuss his testimony with you in any respect?

A. No, he wouldn't.

Q. Do you know whether there might be any more documents other than the ones you've produced that respond to the subpoena?

MR. HARDING: I object to the question. It assumes a fact not in issue, that the documents produced today respond to the subpoena. It's a fact not established.

Mr. Holt, so the record will be clear, when answering this question I want you to address the scope of the documents that you produced.

THE WITNESS: I was advised by Mr. Ted Neils to be completely open and produce all the documents that I could possibly find. In doing that search, the documents I came up

with were what was available. There were several documents that I brought at the last deposition which I could not find, and I presume those are the ones that I have not received back.

MR. WELSH: Q. Do you know of any other place where you did not search this time that there might be other documents?

THE WITNESS: A. Not pertaining to this deposition.

Q. I hand you now a multipage document which was among those which you produced, and ask if you recognize it. It bears a title "A Look at Microcomputers: A Tutorial Survey," and it is written by Ray M. Holt and Manny R. Lemas, bearing a date November 12, 1973. Are you familiar with that document?

A. Yes, I am. A. ~~Manny and I jointly prepared the~~

Q. Will you tell us what the document is?

A. It is a copy of the first draft that we sent to Electronic Design for submitting an article for publication.

Q. Is it a paper prepared by you and Mr. Lemas?

A. Yes.

Q. What is the significance of the date November 12, 1973?

A. Our secretary usually thinks like that. If she can remember, she usually stamps before she files them.

Q. Was the paper ever published?

A. Yes.

Q. Do you know what issue?

A. No. A copy of the actual publication is in the box there somewhere.

Q. What was the publication in which it appeared?

1  
2 THE WITNESS: A. Computer Design.

3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65  
66  
67  
68  
69  
70  
71  
72  
73  
74  
75  
76  
77  
78  
79  
80  
81  
82  
83  
84  
85  
86  
87  
88  
89  
90  
91  
92  
93  
94  
95  
96  
97  
98  
99  
100  
101  
102  
103  
104  
105  
106  
107  
108  
109  
110  
111  
112  
113  
114  
115  
116  
117  
118  
119  
120  
121  
122  
123  
124  
125  
126  
127  
128  
129  
130  
131  
132  
133  
134  
135  
136  
137  
138  
139  
140  
141  
142  
143  
144  
145  
146  
147  
148  
149  
150  
151  
152  
153  
154  
155  
156  
157  
158  
159  
160  
161  
162  
163  
164  
165  
166  
167  
168  
169  
170  
171  
172  
173  
174  
175  
176  
177  
178  
179  
180  
181  
182  
183  
184  
185  
186  
187  
188  
189  
190  
191  
192  
193  
194  
195  
196  
197  
198  
199  
200  
201  
202  
203  
204  
205  
206  
207  
208  
209  
210  
211  
212  
213  
214  
215  
216  
217  
218  
219  
220  
221  
222  
223  
224  
225  
226  
227  
228  
229  
230  
231  
232  
233  
234  
235  
236  
237  
238  
239  
240  
241  
242  
243  
244  
245  
246  
247  
248  
249  
250  
251  
252  
253  
254  
255  
256  
257  
258  
259  
260  
261  
262  
263  
264  
265  
266  
267  
268  
269  
270  
271  
272  
273  
274  
275  
276  
277  
278  
279  
280  
281  
282  
283  
284  
285  
286  
287  
288  
289  
290  
291  
292  
293  
294  
295  
296  
297  
298  
299  
300  
301  
302  
303  
304  
305  
306  
307  
308  
309  
310  
311  
312  
313  
314  
315  
316  
317  
318  
319  
320  
321  
322  
323  
324  
325  
326  
327  
328  
329  
330  
331  
332  
333  
334  
335  
336  
337  
338  
339  
340  
341  
342  
343  
344  
345  
346  
347  
348  
349  
350  
351  
352  
353  
354  
355  
356  
357  
358  
359  
360  
361  
362  
363  
364  
365  
366  
367  
368  
369  
370  
371  
372  
373  
374  
375  
376  
377  
378  
379  
380  
381  
382  
383  
384  
385  
386  
387  
388  
389  
390  
391  
392  
393  
394  
395  
396  
397  
398  
399  
400  
401  
402  
403  
404  
405  
406  
407  
408  
409  
410  
411  
412  
413  
414  
415  
416  
417  
418  
419  
420  
421  
422  
423  
424  
425  
426  
427  
428  
429  
430  
431  
432  
433  
434  
435  
436  
437  
438  
439  
440  
441  
442  
443  
444  
445  
446  
447  
448  
449  
450  
451  
452  
453  
454  
455  
456  
457  
458  
459  
460  
461  
462  
463  
464  
465  
466  
467  
468  
469  
470  
471  
472  
473  
474  
475  
476  
477  
478  
479  
480  
481  
482  
483  
484  
485  
486  
487  
488  
489  
490  
491  
492  
493  
494  
495  
496  
497  
498  
499  
500  
501  
502  
503  
504  
505  
506  
507  
508  
509  
510  
511  
512  
513  
514  
515  
516  
517  
518  
519  
520  
521  
522  
523  
524  
525  
526  
527  
528  
529  
530  
531  
532  
533  
534  
535  
536  
537  
538  
539  
540  
541  
542  
543  
544  
545  
546  
547  
548  
549  
550  
551  
552  
553  
554  
555  
556  
557  
558  
559  
560  
561  
562  
563  
564  
565  
566  
567  
568  
569  
570  
571  
572  
573  
574  
575  
576  
577  
578  
579  
580  
581  
582  
583  
584  
585  
586  
587  
588  
589  
590  
591  
592  
593  
594  
595  
596  
597  
598  
599  
600  
601  
602  
603  
604  
605  
606  
607  
608  
609  
610  
611  
612  
613  
614  
615  
616  
617  
618  
619  
620  
621  
622  
623  
624  
625  
626  
627  
628  
629  
630  
631  
632  
633  
634  
635  
636  
637  
638  
639  
640  
641  
642  
643  
644  
645  
646  
647  
648  
649  
650  
651  
652  
653  
654  
655  
656  
657  
658  
659  
660  
661  
662  
663  
664  
665  
666  
667  
668  
669  
670  
671  
672  
673  
674  
675  
676  
677  
678  
679  
680  
681  
682  
683  
684  
685  
686  
687  
688  
689  
690  
691  
692  
693  
694  
695  
696  
697  
698  
699  
700  
701  
702  
703  
704  
705  
706  
707  
708  
709  
710  
711  
712  
713  
714  
715  
716  
717  
718  
719  
720  
721  
722  
723  
724  
725  
726  
727  
728  
729  
730  
731  
732  
733  
734  
735  
736  
737  
738  
739  
740  
741  
742  
743  
744  
745  
746  
747  
748  
749  
750  
751  
752  
753  
754  
755  
756  
757  
758  
759  
760  
761  
762  
763  
764  
765  
766  
767  
768  
769  
770  
771  
772  
773  
774  
775  
776  
777  
778  
779  
780  
781  
782  
783  
784  
785  
786  
787  
788  
789  
790  
791  
792  
793  
794  
795  
796  
797  
798  
799  
800  
801  
802  
803  
804  
805  
806  
807  
808  
809  
810  
811  
812  
813  
814  
815  
816  
817  
818  
819  
820  
821  
822  
823  
824  
825  
826  
827  
828  
829  
830  
831  
832  
833  
834  
835  
836  
837  
838  
839  
840  
841  
842  
843  
844  
845  
846  
847  
848  
849  
850  
851  
852  
853  
854  
855  
856  
857  
858  
859  
860  
861  
862  
863  
864  
865  
866  
867  
868  
869  
870  
871  
872  
873  
874  
875  
876  
877  
878  
879  
880  
881  
882  
883  
884  
885  
886  
887  
888  
889  
890  
891  
892  
893  
894  
895  
896  
897  
898  
899  
900  
901  
902  
903  
904  
905  
906  
907  
908  
909  
910  
911  
912  
913  
914  
915  
916  
917  
918  
919  
920  
921  
922  
923  
924  
925  
926  
927  
928  
929  
930  
931  
932  
933  
934  
935  
936  
937  
938  
939  
940  
941  
942  
943  
944  
945  
946  
947  
948  
949  
950  
951  
952  
953  
954  
955  
956  
957  
958  
959  
960  
961  
962  
963  
964  
965  
966  
967  
968  
969  
970  
971  
972  
973  
974  
975  
976  
977  
978  
979  
980  
981  
982  
983  
984  
985  
986  
987  
988  
989  
990  
991  
992  
993  
994  
995  
996  
997  
998  
999  
1000  
1001  
1002  
1003  
1004  
1005  
1006  
1007  
1008  
1009  
10010  
10011  
10012  
10013  
10014  
10015  
10016  
10017  
10018  
10019  
10020  
10021  
10022  
10023  
10024  
10025  
10026  
10027  
10028  
10029  
10030  
10031  
10032  
10033  
10034  
10035  
10036  
10037  
10038  
10039  
10040  
10041  
10042  
10043  
10044  
10045  
10046  
10047  
10048  
10049  
10050  
10051  
10052  
10053  
10054  
10055  
10056  
10057  
10058  
10059  
10060  
10061  
10062  
10063  
10064  
10065  
10066  
10067  
10068  
10069  
10070  
10071  
10072  
10073  
10074  
10075  
10076  
10077  
10078  
10079  
10080  
10081  
10082  
10083  
10084  
10085  
10086  
10087  
10088  
10089  
10090  
10091  
10092  
10093  
10094  
10095  
10096  
10097  
10098  
10099  
100100  
100101  
100102  
100103  
100104  
100105  
100106  
100107  
100108  
100109  
100110  
100111  
100112  
100113  
100114  
100115  
100116  
100117  
100118  
100119  
100120  
100121  
100122  
100123  
100124  
100125  
100126  
100127  
100128  
100129  
100130  
100131  
100132  
100133  
100134  
100135  
100136  
100137  
100138  
100139  
100140  
100141  
100142  
100143  
100144  
100145  
100146  
100147  
100148  
100149  
100150  
100151  
100152  
100153  
100154  
100155  
100156  
100157  
100158  
100159  
100160  
100161  
100162  
100163  
100164  
100165  
100166  
100167  
100168  
100169  
100170  
100171  
100172  
100173  
100174  
100175  
100176  
100177  
100178  
100179  
100180  
100181  
100182  
100183  
100184  
100185  
100186  
100187  
100188  
100189  
100190  
100191  
100192  
100193  
100194  
100195  
100196  
100197  
100198  
100199  
100200  
100201  
100202  
100203  
100204  
100205  
100206  
100207  
100208  
100209  
100210  
100211  
100212  
100213  
100214  
100215  
100216  
100217  
100218  
100219  
100220  
100221  
100222  
100223  
100224  
100225  
100226  
100227  
100228  
100229  
100230  
100231  
100232  
100233  
100234  
100235  
100236  
100237  
100238  
100239  
100240  
100241  
100242  
100243  
100244  
100245  
100246  
100247  
100248  
100249  
100250  
100251  
100252  
100253  
100254  
100255  
100256  
100257  
100258  
100259  
100260  
100261  
100262  
100263  
100264  
100265  
100266  
100267  
100268  
100269  
100270  
100271  
100272  
100273  
100274  
100275  
100276  
100277  
100278  
100279  
100280  
100281  
100282  
100283  
100284  
100285  
100286  
100287  
100288  
100289  
100290  
100291  
100292  
100293  
100294  
100295  
100296  
100297  
100298  
100299  
100300  
100301  
100302  
100303  
100304  
100305  
100306  
100307  
100308  
100309  
100310  
100311  
100312  
100313  
100314  
100315  
100316  
100317  
100318  
100319  
100320  
100321  
100322  
100323  
100324  
100325  
100326  
100327  
100328  
100329  
100330  
100331  
100332  
100333  
100334  
100335  
100336  
100337  
100338  
100339  
100340  
100341  
100342  
100343  
100344  
100345  
100346  
100347  
100348  
100349  
100350  
100351  
100352  
100353  
100354  
100355  
100356  
100357  
100358  
100359  
100360  
100361  
100362  
100363  
100364  
100365  
100366  
100367  
100368  
100369  
100370  
100371  
100372  
100373  
100374  
100375  
100376  
100377  
100378  
100379  
100380  
100381  
100382  
100383  
100384  
100385  
100386  
100387  
100388  
100389  
100390  
100391  
100392  
100393  
100394  
100395  
100396  
100397  
100398  
100399  
100400  
100401  
100402  
100403  
100404  
100405  
100406  
100407  
100408  
100409  
100410  
100411  
100412  
100413  
100414  
100415  
100416  
100417  
100418  
100419  
100420  
100421  
100422  
100423  
100424  
100425  
100426  
100427  
100428  
100429  
100430  
100431  
100432  
100433  
100434  
100435  
100436  
100437  
100438  
100439  
100440  
100441  
100442  
100443  
100444  
100445  
100446  
100447  
100448  
100449  
100450  
100451  
100452  
100453  
100454  
100455  
100456  
100457  
100458  
100459  
100460  
100461  
100462  
100463  
100464  
100465  
100466  
100467  
100468  
100469  
100470  
100471  
100472  
100473  
100474  
100475  
100476  
100477  
100478  
100479  
100480  
100481  
100482  
100483  
100484  
100485  
100486  
100487  
100488  
100489  
100490  
100491  
100492  
100493  
100494  
100495  
100496  
100497  
100498  
100499  
100500  
100501  
100502  
100503  
100504  
100505  
100506  
100507  
100508  
100509  
100510  
100511  
100512  
100513  
100514  
100515  
100516  
100517  
100518  
100519  
100520  
100521  
100522  
100523  
100524  
100525  
100526  
100527  
100528  
100529  
100530  
100531  
100532  
100533  
100534  
100535  
100536  
100537  
100538  
100539  
100540  
100541  
100542  
100543  
100544  
100545  
100546  
100547  
100548  
100549  
100550  
100551  
100552  
100553  
100554  
100555  
100556  
100557  
100558  
100559  
100560  
100561  
100562  
100563  
100564  
100565  
100566  
100567  
100568  
100569  
100570  
100571  
100572  
100573  
100574  
100575  
100576  
100577  
100578  
100579  
100580  
100581  
100582  
100583  
100584  
100585  
100586  
100587  
100588  
100589  
100590  
100591  
100592  
100593  
100594  
100595  
100596  
100597  
100598  
100599  
100600  
100601  
100602  
100603  
100604  
100605  
100606  
100607  
100608  
100609  
100610  
100611  
100612  
100613  
100614  
100615  
100616  
100617  
100618  
100619  
100620  
100621  
100622  
100623  
100624  
100625  
100626  
100627  
100628  
100629  
100630  
100631  
100632  
100633  
100634  
100635  
100636  
100637  
100638  
100639  
100640  
100641  
100642  
100643  
100644  
100645  
100646  
100647  
100648  
100649  
100650  
100651  
100652  
100653  
100654  
100655  
100656  
100657  
100658  
100659  
100660  
100661  
100662  
100663  
100664  
100665  
100666  
100667  
100668  
100669  
100670  
100671  
100672  
100673  
100674  
100675  
100676  
100677  
100678  
100679  
100680  
100681  
100682  
100683  
100684  
100685  
100686  
100687  
100688  
100689  
100690  
100691  
100692  
100693  
100694  
100695  
100696  
100697  
100698  
100699  
100700  
100701  
100702  
100703  
100704  
100705  
100706  
100707  
100708  
100709  
100710  
100711  
100712  
100713  
100714  
100715  
100716  
100717  
100718  
100719  
100720  
100721  
100722  
100723  
100724  
100725  
100726  
100727  
100728  
100729  
100730  
100731  
100732  
100733  
100734  
100735  
100736  
100737  
100738  
100739  
100740  
100741  
100742  
100743  
100744  
100745  
100746  
100747  
100748  
100749  
100750  
100751  
100752  
100753  
100754  
100755  
100756  
100757  
100758  
100759  
100760  
100761  
100762  
100763  
100764  
100765  
100766  
100767  
100768  
100769  
100770  
100771  
100772  
100773  
100774  
100775  
100776  
100777  
100778  
100779  
100780  
100781  
100782  
100783  
100784  
100785  
100786  
100787  
100788  
100789  
100790  
100791  
10079

were posed pictures of a simulated workshop for submission in an article that was being written by Electronic Design News Magazine.

Q. One of those pictures appears on a page of Exhibit B, does it not? You described where this is in the exhibit?

A. Correct. It is at Dr. Garrow's hand in, the card set in the background.

Q. Is that the one which has the number 6547-7 on the back? *Where was the picture taken?*

A. No.

Q. Is it the one that has the number 6547-5 on the back? *Surface at the time?*

A. Yes.

MR. WELSH: *I'd like to have these identified as Exhibits I1, I2 and I3, with I1 being number 6547-5, 6547-10 being I2, and 6547-7 is Exhibit I3.*

MR. WELSH: *THE WITNESS: A.* (Whereupon three photographs identified on the back with the numbers 6547-5, 6547-10 and 6547-7 were marked Plaintiff's Exhibits Holt I1, I2 and I3 respectively for identification.)

Q. *What is that in Exhibit I1?* *Can you identify the persons in Exhibit I1, going left to right?*

THE WITNESS: *A. Phil Tai, myself, Bob Garrow and Manny Lemas.*

Q. *Would you also identify from left to right the persons in Exhibit I2?*

A. *Phil Tai, Manny Lemas, myself and Bob Garrow.*

Q. *Would you also identify from left to right the persons in I3?*

A. Phil Tai, myself, Bob Garrow, Manny Lemas.

Q. Does the card set that Dr. Tai designed for the MCS-4 or MCS-8 appear in Exhibit 13?

A. Yes.

Q. Could you describe where that is in the exhibit?

A. The cards that Dr. Tai is handling, the card set in the foreground.

Q. Where was the picture taken?

A. At Intel.

Q. Is that in Dr. Tai's office, or was that in Dr. Tai's office at the time?

A. No.

Q. Was it near his office?

MR. HARDING: Objection. What's near? You are asking how near? That's my handled, but I don't generate the word.

MR. WELSH: Q. Where was it?

THE WITNESS: A. It was in the conference room.

Q. Where is that in relation to Dr. Tai's office?

THE WITNESS: A. Approximately one hundred feet.

Q. I hand you four handwritten pages of notes that came out of the folder that you produced marked Compata History Course Outlines, and ask you if you recognize those pages of notes?

A. Yes. I recognize it to be the same handwriting.

Q. What do you recognize them to be?

A. It's a description of a proposed outline for a

course for Intel.

Q. Do you recognize the handwriting?

A. Yes.

Q. Whose is it?

A. There are two sets of handwriting, myself and Mr. Lemas.

Q. Could you indicate which is yours and which is Mr. Lemas'?

A. Mine is the pencil and Mr. Lemas' is what's in ink.

Q. Do you recognize the handwriting?

Q. Then is it correct that you wrote the portion on the fourth page which says Day 3, PM, three hours, with the heading Specific Applications underlined and the phrase "A detailed discussion covering specific applications. Emphasis will be on problem definition and hardware/software trade-offs"; is it correct that you wrote that?

A. That's my handwriting, but I didn't generate the wording. Most of that was copied from previous outlines.

Q. What was the purpose of preparing this outline?

A. I really don't recall. We had so many outlines, there isn't really enough here. Obviously, it was for an 8080 course at Intel.

Q. Do you know when it was prepared?

A. No.

Q. I will place it in the file from which it came and

perhaps there was something else in the file that will help you answer what the purpose of it was or when it was prepared.

A. This is Mr. Lemas' file. This is really no help.

MR. WELSH: Okay. I'd like to mark that as Exhibit

J.

(Whereupon four pages of hand-written notes entitled "Proposed Outline of a Three-Day Intel 8080 Course" was marked Plaintiff's Exhibit Holt J for identification.)

MR. WELSH:

Q. I hand you a one-page sheet with handwriting on both sides and ask you if you recognize that?

THE WITNESS:

A. The content or the handwriting?

Q. Do you recognize the handwriting?

A. Yes.

Q. Whose handwriting is it?

A. Mr. Lemas'.

Q. Are you familiar with the content?

A. The words I'm familiar with. The structure looks like some kind of a course outline.

MR. HARDING: Don't speculate.

MR. WELSH: Q. There appears in the right-hand side or the right-hand portion of one side some writing with the term Re at the top. I ask you to read that portion on the right-hand side and ask if you can recall what that subject matter is.

MR. HARDING: Mr. Welsh, he testified he's never read the document before. Now you're asking him to speculate on certain subject matter related to what someone else wrote. In addition, let the record reflect it's scribbled out. It's highly improper. All afternoon it's been highly improper. If you don't know what that relates to, Mr. Holt, don't ~~really~~ speculate and don't answer.

THE WITNESS:

I would definitely be speculating if I

answered.

MR. WELSH: Q. After having read it, does it refresh your recollection as to whether you ever saw that before or not?

THE WITNESS: A. Definitely not.

MR. WELSH: That concludes my examination.

A. No. EXAMINATION BY MR. HARDING:

MR. HARDING: Q. Mr. Holt, referring again to Exhibits I1, I2 and I3, I believe you testified that it was a posed picture; is that correct?

THE WITNESS: A. Yes.

Q. What do you mean a posed picture?

A. The equivalent was brought in, and we drew pictures on the board and stood in certain positions to simulate the workshop in progress.

Q. Was it a workshop?

A. No.

Q. Is there any reason that the same individuals are shown in all three photographs?

A. Yes. *the three photographs*

Q. What's the reason?

A. We were available.

Q. What do you mean because they were available?

A. I don't understand.

A. Well, available how?

Q. Well, they needed people in the picture.

A. It really could have been anybody. Manny and I was there trying to get the courses together. Phil was the one who asked the

photographer to come in, and Bob Garrow was probably in his office. So somehow the four of us had the picture.

Q. Now, of the documents that were produced, the three sets, a large box and a smaller box and another collection of documents, were those screened according to any direction that you received from me?

A. No.

Q. Why were those particular documents produced?

A. Because Mr. Neils from Honeywell asked me to find all the documents that related to the subpoena, and to bring them, and those are the ones I found.

Q. When you say related to the subpoena, did you have an understanding of what the subpoena related to?

A. It was written, the second and third page of my copy.

Q. Did you have an understanding of what that said?

A. Yes.

Q. What was your understanding?

A. There was a list of about six or seven different companies or people that were mentioned, and all documents related to that I brought.

Q. Did you follow my advice in producing documents?

A. No.

Q. Did you produce all documents that pertained to Compata and your company, Microcomputer Associates?

A. Yes, except for those from the last deposition that aren't in my possession.

Q. Now, earlier in your testimony you indicated that you

had some meetings with Dr. Tai before and after certain courses, where you chatted about where the industry was going. Do you recall that?

A. Yes.

Q. What did you mean by the term where the industry was going?

A. I meant the general trend of the industry and how fast microcomputers were being accepted by certain types of industry like consumer and industrial, conversation about that.

Q. Did it pertain to specific applications?

A. No.

Q. You testified earlier concerning the fact that you were not present during certain of Mr. Lemas' presentations during the courses. Do you recall that testimony?

A. Yes.

Q. Was there any reason why you were not present during portions that Mr. Lemas was giving his presentations?

A. Yes.

Q. What was that reason?

A. Manny had half the class and I had half the class.

I was usually down the hall or next door below or above teaching the other half of the class.

Q. Now, you talked to Mr. Hal Feeney you indicated

earlier.

A. Yes.

Q. What did Mr. Feeney tell you?

A. He told me that he can't give me any information.

Q. Did he tell you why?

A. Yes. He said it was proprietary to Intel.

Q. Did he mention anything about his lawyer?

A. Yes.

Q. What did he say?

A. He said any further information along this line I'd have to go through the Intel lawyers first.

MR. HARDING: That concludes my cross.

FURTHER EXAMINATION BY MR. WELSH:

MR. WELSH: Q. Did the people in the photograph Exhibit 11, 2 and 3 have anything to do with the course?

THE WITNESS: A. Yes.

Q. What did they have to do with it?

MR. HARDING: Mr. Welsh, we spent all afternoon discussing everybody's role in the course, and we talked about Dr. Tai at length. We talked about Mr. Holt at length. We talked about Mr. Garrow at length. We talked about Mr. Lemas at length. Now, do you want the entire day repeated? That was the scope of your question, sir. If that's the scope, don't answer the question.

MR. WELSH: Of course we don't want the entire day repeated.

MR. HARDING: Then please ask a question you want answered that has not already been asked and answered.

MR. WELSH: I would like to know what the people had to do with the course. He gave the impression that the only reason they were there was because they were available at the time.

MR. HARDING: For being in the picture?

MR. WELSH: Yes.

MR. WELSH: Q. But weren't they in the picture also because they had something to do with the course?

MR. HARDING: That's a different question, sir.

THE WITNESS: A. I would have to say no. It was a coincidence Mr. Lemas and I were there at the time. We weren't told the pictures were going to be taken, and we also had -- after the photographer set up, he said we needed one more person. He ran out and got Bob Garrow.

MR. WELSH: Q. All the people in the picture did have something to do with the course, didn't they?

THE WITNESS: A. Yes.

Q. Were other people available at Intel at the time?

A. Yes.

Q. Do you know how many?

MR. HARDING: Don't speculate.

THE WITNESS: A. I don't know an exact number.

MR. WELSH: Q. Do you have any approximation?

A. Eight hundred.

MR. WELSH: Mr. Harding and Mr. Rifkin, it's a

little difficult to talk when you are making that kind of noise.

MR. HARDING: It was an accident, sir.

MR. WELSH: I wanted to comment that we believe

that it's appropriate that if there are any documents that have come to your attention since discovery has closed that you have that relate to the reissue application or are material

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
to the application including questions of derivation and lack  
of independent simultaneous development, if you have any such  
documents, we believe you are obligated to make those documents  
known even though discovery in the lawsuit in Chicago may have  
been closed. We feel that that obligation arises from your  
admission to the patent office as attorneys even though as  
protesters. You are not under the same sanction as applicant.

MR. RIFKIN: Mr. Welsh, I will respond if I might.  
I take it when you say --

MR. HARDING: Excuse me, can we dismiss the witness?

MR. WELSH: Yes.

MR. RIFKIN: Mr. Welsh, in response to your state-  
ment, I assume you are referring to the duty of candor that  
Bally owes in the patent office to bring all relevant informa-  
tion to the attention of the patent office in connection with  
the re-examination of its patent. What you are saying is that  
you expect us to exercise our judgment to meet the same standard  
of candor with the patent office. I don't think there has  
ever been a question of my firm, myself, or the clients I  
represent fulfilling its duty of candor to the patent office  
in connection with this case or any other case.

Now, I cannot speak for you or your firm. You can speak  
for you or your firm or your client. But I have no doubt that  
anything that is relevant to this examination of the Patent  
Office which we're aware of will be brought to the attention  
of the patent office. I would request that you indicate on  
the record that you intend to bring to the attention of the  
patent office all of the relevant documents that you have in

your possession.

MR. WELSH: We have done so, and we shall do so. I would like to request that if there are any documents that relate to the subject matter of derivation or simultaneous development that have not been produced to us for whatever reason, including close of discovery, because there seems to be some question about the lack of continuing obligation to make production once discovery is closed, and so we're requesting that we be furnished with copies of any documents that you have that relate to the subject of derivation and simultaneous development; such as any documents from Intel's files.

MR. RIFKIN: I really am mystified by the innuendos in your statement that you have any basis for making such a request concerning the defendants having withheld anything. I don't know on what you base your information or your assumptions. But your statement really does not call for a reply.

MR. WELSH: We are not making any assumptions. We are just making a request.

MR. HARDING: I also want to supplement it and say I think the law is quite clear that defendants' and protesters' participation in the reissue proceedings does not relieve the applicant or diminish his duty of candor, and therefore, I don't want any reliance on protesters in placing all relevant materials in front of the patent examiner.

MR. WELSH: We're requesting that we be furnished with copies of any materials that you have that relate to the subject of that, whether or not you submit them to the patent

office.

MR. RIFKIN: Each and every document which we believe is relevant to those subjects we will call to the attention of the patent office and give you copies of.

MR. KATZ: Of course we're interested in referring to documents that would support patentability.

MR. RIFKIN: I just don't understand the purpose of your statement.

MR. HARDING: We'll take everybody's requests under advisement.

---

RAYMOND M. HOLT